

CENTRAL INFORMATION COMMISSION
Baba Gangnath Marg, Munirka
New Delhi-110067

Decision No. CIC/SH/A/2016/001605, dated 29.11.2017

Aditya Kumar Sharma vs. CPIO, Indian Bank, Corporate Office, Chennai

Relevant dates emerging from the Appeal:

RTI: 18.01.2016	FA: 21.03.2016	SA: 22.06.2016
CPIO: 01.03.2016	FAAO: 24.03.2016	Hearing: 29.11.2017

ORDER

1. The appellant filed an application under the Right to Information Act, 2005 (RTI Act) before the Central Public Information Officer (CPIO), Indian Bank, Zonal Office, Patna, seeking information on four points pertaining to the action taken by the respondent on a letter dated 15.06.2014, issued by Department of Finance including, inter alia, (i) the name and address of the Appellate Authority and time limit for filing of the first appeal, and (ii) the action taken on the letter issued by Department of Finance, Government of Bihar, letter No. 08/PC-Munger-03/2014 dated 15.06.2014.

2. The appellant filed a second appeal before the Commission on the grounds that incomplete and wrong information was provided by the CPIO. The appellant is also aggrieved with the Order of the First Appellate Authority (FAA). The appellant requested the Commission to direct the CPIO to provide the information sought for by him and to recommend disciplinary action against the CPIO.

Hearing:

3. The appellant Shri Aditya Kumar Sharma and the respondent Shri K. Deepak Senthil, Senior Manager (Law), Indian Bank, Rayapettah, Chennai attended the hearing through video conferencing.

4. The appellant submitted that correct and complete information has not been provided to him by the respondent.

5. The respondent submitted that point wise information has been provided to the appellant. The appellant was informed that the letter dated 15.06.2014 was not received by the respondent. Hence, no information on point nos. B and C could be provided. The respondent further submitted that the information sought vide point no. D of the RTI application was provided earlier in response to the appellant's RTI application dated 14.01.2016.

Decision:

6. The Commission, after hearing the submissions of both the parties and perusing the records, observes that due information has been provided to the appellant. The Commission, however, directs the respondent to file an affidavit with the Commission deposing that the letter dated 15.06.2017 was not received by the respondent. Hence, information on point nos. B and C could be provided to the appellant in response to his RTI application. A copy of the affidavit shall also be provided to the appellant. The above directions of the Commission shall be complied with, within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

7. With the above observations, the appeal is disposed of.

8. Copy of the decision be provided free of cost to the parties.

(Sudhir Bhargava)
Information Commissioner

Authenticated true copy

(S.S. Rohilla)
Designated Officer

Addresses of the parties:

1. The Central Public Information Officer (CPIO),
Indian Bank,
Customer Service Cell, RTI Desk, Corporate Office,
254-260, Avvai Shanmugam Salai,
Royapettah, Chennai – 600014,
2. Mr. Aditya Kumar Sharma