

CENTRAL INFORMATION COMMISSION
Room No. 302, CIC Bhawan, Baba Gang Nath Marg,
Munirka, New Delhi-110067

Decision No. CIC/SH/A/2016/001517, dated 05.12.2017

Arun Kumar vs. CPIO, Indian Overseas Bank, Region Office, Jaipur

Relevant dates emerging from the Appeal:

| | | |
|-------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------|
| RTI: 18.05.2015 | FA: 22.06.2015 | SA: 27.06.2016 |
| CPIO: 24.06.2015 | FAAO: No order | Hearing: 30.11.2017 |

ORDER

1. The appellant filed an application under the Right to Information Act, 2005 (RTI Act) before the Central Public Information Officer (CPIO), Indian Overseas Bank (IOB), Mehra Jatoowas, Jhunjhunu, seeking information on seven points, regarding Kisan Credit Card (KCC) loan, including, inter alia, (i) whether Mr. Dalip, Mr. Dayanand and Mr. Rajesh have taken KCC loan, if yes, details of the loans sanctioned to them along with details of their repayment, and (ii) details of the land on which KCC loan has been taken by Mr. Dalip, Mr. Dayanand and Mr. Rajesh.

2. The appellant filed a second appeal before the State information Commission, Rajasthan, which was transferred to the CIC on 13.5.2016. The appellant filed his second appeal on the grounds that the CPIO had wrongly denied the information on the plea that it was related to third parties. The appellant also stated that the KCC loan has been sanctioned to Mr. Dalip/ Mr. Dayanand/ Mr. Rajesh on his land and a court case is pending in this regard. The appellant requested the Commission to direct the CPIO to provide the

information sought for by him, to take action against the CPIO and to award compensation to him.

Hearing:

3. The appellant Shri Arun Kumar was not present despite notice. The respondent Shri Sudhir Kumar, Chief Manager, IOB, Jhunjhunu attended the hearing through video conferencing.

4. The respondent submitted that the appellant was informed vide letter dated 24.06.2015, that the information sought by him pertains to third parties. Hence the information sought cannot be disclosed, unless there is a larger public interest which the appellant had failed to established.

Decision:

5. The Commission, after hearing the submissions of the respondent and perusing the records, observes that the information sought relates to the personal information of third parties, the disclosure of which has no relationship to any public interest and would cause an unwarranted invasion of the privacy of the third parties. Hence, the disclosure of information sought is exempted under Section 8(1)(j) of the RTI Act. In view of this, no intervention of the Commission is required in the matter.

6. With the above observations, the appeal is disposed of.

7. Copy of the decision be provided free of cost to the parties.

(Sudhir Bhargava)
Information Commissioner

Authenticated true copy

(S.S. Rohilla)
Designated Officer

Addresses of the parties:

1. The Central Public Information Officer (CPIO),
Indian Overseas Bank,
Regional Office, Jaipur, SB-57, 1st Floor,
Ridhi Tower, Opposite Sawai Man Singh Stadium (East Gate),
Tonk Road, Jaipur Rajasthan

2. Mr. Arun Kumar