

**CENTRAL INFORMATION COMMISSION**

(Room No.313, CIC Bhawan, Baba Gangnath Marg, Munirka, New Delhi-110067)

**Before Prof. M. Sridhar Acharyulu (Madabhushi Sridhar), CIC**

**CIC/EPFOG/A/2017/177150**

**Avinash Ramchandra Natu v. PIO, EPFO, Pune**

**Order Sheet:** RTI filed on 25.07.2017, CPIO replied on 24.08.2017, FAO on 21.09.2017, Second appeal filed on 04.11.2017, Hearing on 12.01.2018;

**Proceedings on 12.01.2018:** Appellant absent, Public Authority represented by CPIO. Mr Sudhakar Bhonsle

**Date of Decision - 12.01.2018:** Directions for compliance; Show-cause issued

**ORDER**

**FACTS:**

1. Appellant sought certified copies of start and ending of PF accounts, Form 9, form 5 and 10 of four companies (Swastik Laboratory, Lipi Boiler Pvt. Ltd., Congent Engineers Pvt. Ltd., BAB Agro Ltd.), copy of records maintained by the Provident Fund office for Family pension credited to account number lr/rH17637184, dates and amount of family pension (old) amount credited to Family Pension Fund (as per 1995 Pension scheme), Copy of Pension rejection's rules, notification, circulars and Acts-with reference to account number MH//7637 /84. CPIO replied on 24.08.2017 supplying two documents available in the Office.

**Decision :**

2. The appellant complained that his pensionable service started in 1971 and produced the certificate and records having his signatures proving that the contribution was since 1971 whereas the Department considered his pensionable service after 1981. Mr. Sudhakar Bhonsle, CPIO stated that the Form 5 and form 9 are not available with their office. After checking the records produced by the appellant, the CPIO agreed that with appellant's claim and assured to redress his grievance after inquiring into the matter, within 30 days from this date.

3. The Commission orders accordingly and directs the CPIO to send a compliance report to this Commission.

4. The Commission directs Mr. Sudhakar Bhonsle, CPIO to show-cause why maximum penalty should not be imposed upon him for not furnishing the information. The CPIO is directed to explain why the Public Authority should not be directed to pay compensation to the appellant.

5. All responses along with compliance report must reach this Commission, before 15.02.2018; the matter is posted for compliance and penalty proceedings on 15.02.2018.

SD/-  
(M. Sridhar Acharyulu)  
Central Information Commissioner

Authenticated true copy

(Dinesh Kumar)  
Deputy Registrar

Copy of decision given to the parties free of cost.

Addresses of the parties:

1. The CPIO under RTI,  
EPFO, Regional Office,  
Cantonment Board Building,  
Golibar maidan, Pune-411001.
2. Shri Avinash Ramchandra Natu,