

CENTRAL INFORMATION COMMISSION

Room No. 302, CIC Bhawan, Baba Gang Nath Marg,
Munirka, New Delhi-110067

Decision No. CIC/RUGBK/A/2017/133027 Dated 07.12.2017

**Pradeep Kumar Dwivedi v. CPIO, Purvanchal Bank, Head Office,
Gorakhpur, Uttar Pradesh**

Relevant dates emerging from the appeal:

RTI: 29.10.2016	FA: 01.12.2016	SA: 24.01.2017
CPIO: 09.01.2017	FAAO: -	Hearing: 05.12.2017

ORDER

1. The appellant filed an application under the Right to Information Act, 2005 (RTI Act) before the Central Public Information Officer (CPIO), Purvanchal Bank, Head Office, Gorakhpur, Uttar Pradesh seeking information/documents on two points pertaining to the action taken on his letters dated 08.07.2016, 20.08.2016 and 24.09.2016 regarding non-inclusion of his name in the promotion process held in 2016 and related issues.

2. The appellant filed a second appeal before the Commission on the grounds that though no information has been provided by the CPIO, the CPIO stated that information has already been provided by the letter dated 09.12.2016 of Regional Manager, Purvanchal Bank, Itawa. The appellant requested the Commission to direct the CPIO to provide the information sought by him, to impose a penalty on the CPIO @250/- per day under Section 20(1) of the RTI Act, 2005 and to award suitable compensation to him under Section 20(2) of the RTI Act, 2005.

Hearing:

3. The appellant Shri Pradeep Kumar Dwivedi and Shri S.K. Gupta, Chief Manager (Planning) and CPIO, Purvanchal Bank, Head Office, Gorakhpur attended the hearing through video conferencing.

4. The appellant submitted that he had sought certified copies of documents (notings/correspondences/orders) pertaining to the action taken on his letters dated 08.07.2016, 20.08.2016 and 24.09.2016. He was informed that the requisite information has been provided vide letter dated 09.12.2016. However, this letter merely stated that he was not eligible for promotion in pursuance of the punishment order dated 06.08.2015. The appellant requested the Commission to direct the CPIO to provide certified copies of the file notings pertaining to the action taken on his letters dated 08.07.2016, 20.08.2016 and 24.09.2016.

5. The respondent submitted that information as per the available records has been provided to the appellant vide letter dated 09.12.2016. The respondent, however, admitted that due to oversight certified copies of the documents sought by the appellant could not be provided to him.

Decision:

6. The Commission, after hearing the submissions of both the parties and perusing the records, directs the respondent to provide certified copies of the file notings pertaining to the action taken on his letters dated 08.07.2016, 20.08.2016 and 24.09.2016 to the appellant within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

7. With the above observations, the appeal is disposed of.

8. Copy of the decision be provided free of cost to the parties.

(Sudhir Bhargava)
Information Commissioner

Authenticated true copy

(S.S. Rohilla)
Designated Officer

Addresses of the parties:

1. The Central Public Information Officer (CPIO),
Purvanchal Bank,
Head Office,
Buddh Vihar Commercial Scheme,
Taramandal, Gorakhpur,
Uttar Pradesh-273016.
2. Shri Pradeep Kumar Dwivedi,