Central Information Commission 2nd Floor, 'B' Wing, August Kranti Bhawan, Bhikaji Cama Place, New Delhi-110066.

CIC/AA/A/2016/76 CICOM/A/2016/00075 CICOM/R/2015/01099

Name of the appellant:

Shri Subhash Chandra Agrawal,

1775 Kucha Lattushah, Dariba, Chandni Chowk,

Delhi - 110 006.

1.	Date of RTI application	13.10.2015
2.	Date of reply of the RTI application	21.11.2015 & 12.02.2016
3.	CPIO(s) who furnished reply	DO to CIC & JS(Law)
4.	Date of filing 1 st Appeal	18.02.2016
5.	Diary No. of 1 st Appeal of the Dak Section	114167
6.	Diary date of the Dak Section	18.02.2016
7.	Diary date of 1 st Appeal in the office of FAA	19.02.2016
8.	Date of Decision	09.09.2016

Decision with reasons:-

- 1. RTI application was filed with the CPIO of the DoPT, CPIO DoPT on Points No.3,5,6 of the RTI application has stated that "Your grievance number DOPAT/E/2015/00663 was forwarded to CIC on 21.04.2015 through file number 11/1/2015-IR for appropriate reply (copy of the forwarding is enclosed)"
- 2. On Points 4,5,8,9 and 10, CPIO, DoPT has intimated the appellant that his RTI application has already been forwarded to CIC. The RTI application received in CIC was transferred by the RTI Cell to JS(Admin) and CPIO, Registrar, JS(Law), DO to CIC(VS) and DR to CR. DO to IC(VS) vide letter dated 23.11.2015 has replied as under:-

"In this regard, it is to inform that the information sought in point Nos.9 & 10 of the RTI application is not available with this Registry."

Shri Y.K. Singhal, JS(Law) vide letter dated 12.02.2016 has replied as under:-

"Point No.7: No such information is available in this office.

Point No.8: Kindly refer RTI Act 2005 and RTI Rules 2012 which are available on website of this Commission and are already available in public domain."

Shri S.P. Beck, JS(Admin) vide letter dated 19.04.2016 has replied as under:-

"Items 4 & 5 : No.

Items 6: Does not arise."

Shri M.K. Sharma, Registrar vide letter dated 12.03.2016 has replied as under:-

"Points 7 and 8: This Commission takes action regarding (i) registering complaints/appeals; (ii) issuing of notices etc for hearings; (iii) passing orders/decisions; and (iv) compliance of its orders/decisions as per the provisions contained in the Right to Information Act, 2005 and RTI Rules, 2012 as interpreted by various courts in the country.

Point 9: No information about any decision fixing the responsibility for committing the alleged "big lapse" of registering the complaint is held by this Commission.

Point 10, 11 and 12: After the disposal of the complaint on 16.03.2015, no action has been taken for reinitiating proceedings in the matter. There is no noting on the movement of the RTI application."

- 3. In the appeal, the appellant has stated that response by JS(Admin) is an attempt to hide serious irregularities and he has not received any reply on Points 3 to 6 of the RTI application. He has also requested to provide him consolidated reply on all the points of the RTI application transferred by DoPT to CIC.
- 4. The appellant has also mentioned that information on Points 7, 9 & 10 of his earlier RTI application may also be provided for which he has already filed first appeal separately before the FAA, CIC. In this regard, it is to inform that said appeal has already been disposed of vide No.CIC/AA/2016/27, CICOM/A/2016/00027 dated 10.06.2016.
- 5. On the Points transferred by DoPT, CPIO, RTI Cell is directed to provide consolidated reply to the appellant within 2 weeks from the date of receipt of the order and if required sought assistance under Section 5(4) from the holder of the information.
- 6. The appeal is disposed off.
- 7. In case the appellant is aggrieved by the decision, he is free to file second appeal, if he so desires, before the CIC in Room No.185, Ground Floor, August Kranti Bhawan, Bhikaji Cama Place, New Delhi-110066 against this order within 90 days.

Dated the 9th September, 2016.

Achlandie

(Achla Sinha)

Additional Secretary & First Appellate Authority

Tel: 26162290

Copy to:-

1. The CPIO, RTI Cell, CIC, New Delhi.