

Central Information Commission
2nd Floor, 'B' Wing, August Kranti Bhawan,
Bhikaji Cama Place, New Delhi-110066.

CIC/AA/A/2016/88
CICOM/A/2016/00087
CICOM/R/2016/00188

Name of the appellant : Shri Praveen Sakhuja,
LD-119, SFS Flats,
Pitampura,
Delhi – 110 034.

Date of decision : 11.04.2016

Date of RTI application	08.02.2016
Date of reply of the RTI application	22.02.2016
CPIO who furnished reply	CPIO, RTI Cell
Date of filing 1 st Appeal	29.02.2016
Diary No. & date of 1 st Appeal in the Commission	116758/29.02.2016
Diary date of 1 st Appeal in the FAA's office	01.03.2016

Decision with reasons:-

1 In the RTI application, the applicant has sought the details of provisions/section in RTI Act wherein CPIO, does not take:-

- i. cognizance of the directions of Hon'ble Information Commissioner (IC) to provide the information, i.e. does not respond within stipulated period of number of days/weeks.
- ii. denies the information under section 8 after directions of IC, or
- iii. denies the information under section 9 after directions of IC, or
- iv. denies the information declaring it voluminous after directions of IC, or
- v. provides misleading information after directions of IC, or
- vi. conceals the factual information after directions of IC, or
- vii. claims he is not custodian of the information after directions of IC

under which complaint can be lodged with CIC for further action against CPIO."

o/v 2. The CPIO, RTI Cell vide his letter dated 22.02.2016 has rightly intimated that the applicant may refer to the RTI Act, 2005 and RTI Rules, 2012, which are already available in the public domain because the CPIO cannot interpretate or explain the provisions made in the RTI Act, 2005 and RTI Rules, 2012.

