

Central Information Commission  
2<sup>nd</sup> Floor, 'B' Wing, August Kranti Bhawan,  
Bhikaji Cama Place, New Delhi-110066.

CIC/AA/A/2016/95  
CICOM/A/2016/00094  
CICOM/R/2016/00082

Name of the appellant : Shri Ajay Kumar Bahl,  
H.No.1669, Sector-9,  
Faridabad – 121 006.  
Haryana.



1.	Date of RTI application	11.01.2016
2.	Date of reply of the RTI application	29.01.16, 02.02.16 & 15.02.16
3.	CPIO(s) who furnished reply	DS(Admn), DR(CR) & JS(MR)
4.	Date of filing 1 <sup>st</sup> Appeal	23.02.2016
5.	Diary No. of 1 <sup>st</sup> Appeal of the Dak Section	116601
6.	Diary date of the Dak Section	26.02.2016
7.	Diary date of 1 <sup>st</sup> Appeal in the office of FAA	01.03.2016
8.	Date of Decision	13.06.2016

**Decision with reasons:-**

1. On Point (i) of the RTI application, the appellant stated that he has received the information regarding diarisation of his appeal sent to the Commission. In his appeal, he wants to know the link of website page where the information has been uploaded. The appellant asking the information which is not asked in the original RTI application, therefore, FAA cannot direct the CPIO to provide the information. However, the appellant can go to the CIC's website and get this information in the right side link "**Status of Appeals and Complaints**" by entering his name or the diary number provided by the CPIO.

2. On Point (ii), the appellant has requested to direct the CPIO, Shri Dinesh Kumar to furnish an affidavit that the letter has been returned /dispatched by his office. The CPIO & DR in CR, Shri Dinesh Kumar is directed to provide the proper dispatch details of the letter No.176898 dated 04.12.2015 vide which the second appeal has been returned to the appellant as a defect memo.

3. On Points (vi), (vii) & (viii) of the RTI application, the appellant has stated that he has not received the reply. These points were transferred to Shri S.K. Rabbani, DS(GA) by the CPIO, RTI Cell. On inquiry from Shri S.K. Rabbani, DS(GA) cum CPIO, he informed that he has sent the information vide letter dated 17.03.2016 and provided a



copy of the reply, which is enclosed. However, it is observed that Point-8<sup>(viii)</sup> of the RTI application is concerned to Shri Sushil Kumar, DS(Admin) and not to Shri S.K. Rabbani, DS(GA). **Direction is accordingly given to Shri Sushil Kumar, DS(Admin) cum CPIO to provide the information on Point-8 to the appellant within 1 week from the date of receipt of the order.** (vii)

4. On Point (x) of the RTI application, the appellant has sought the details of steps taken/being taken to streamline and speed up the working of Central Information Commission for disposal of pending RTI cases. **Shri Y.K. Singhal, JS(Law) & Nodal Officer of RTI is directed to provide the information to the appellant within 1 week from the date of receipt of the order.**

5. Point (xi) of the RTI application is not concerned with the Commission. Hence, no direction can be given to any CPIO to provide the information. The appellant may take up the matter with the State Commission and the respective forums.

6. The appeal is disposed off accordingly.

7. In case the appellant is aggrieved by the decision, he is free to file second appeal, if he so desires, before the CIC in Room No. 185, Ground Floor, August Kranti Bhawan, Bhikaji Cama Place, New Delhi-110066 against this order within 90 days.

Dated the 13<sup>th</sup> June, 2016.

*Achla Sinha*

( Achla Sinha )  
Additional Secretary & First Appellate Authority  
Tel: 26162290

Copy to:-

1. The CPIO, RTI Cell, CIC, New Delhi.
  2. Shri Y.K. Singhal, JS(Law) & Nodal Officer of RTI, CIC, New Delhi.
  3. Shri Sushil Kumar, DS(Admin), CIC, New Delhi.
  4. Shri Dinesh Kumar, DR (CR), CIC, New Delhi.
- 23/6/16*  
*Amr*  
*23/6/16*  
*cve*