

Central Information Commission
2nd Floor, 'B' Wing, August Kranti Bhawan,
Bhikaji Cama Place, New Delhi-110066.

CIC/AA/A/2016/207
CICOM/A/2016/00206
CICOM/R/2016/00565

Name of the appellant : Shri Girish Verma,
No.P 1/B-220315,
Betbanwa,
Motihari – 845 401.

1.	Date of RTI application	26.04.2016
2.	Date of reply of the RTI application	02.05.2016
3.	CPIO(s) who furnished reply	CPIO, RTI Cell
4.	Date of filing 1 st Appeal	09.05.2016
5.	Diary No. of 1 st Appeal of the Dak Section	134211
6.	Diary date of the Dak Section	11.05.2016
7.	Diary date of 1 st Appeal in the office of FAA	12.05.2016
8.	Date of Decision	06.07.2016

Decision with reasons:-

1. In the RTI application, the appellant has sought following information:-

"(a) Can CIC, New Delhi take the parliamentary proceeding against the corruption by democratic Governance and violation of human right, for compensation amount with compound interest to applicant to their RTI application as well as its official proceeding to appropriate time frame within one hundred and twenty days, by Court of CIC, New Delhi.



(b) Can CIC, New Delhi take the copy of Judgment/decision against my application dated 01 April 2016 from UNHRC, Genewa, Switzerlant?

(c) Can the Parliamentary action taken from CIC, New Delhi based the circumstances of application dated 01 April 2016 and available record to my CASE in CIC, New Delhi, for violation of my human right and penalty amount of compensation to applicant with compound interest from Court of CIC or State Information Commission by video conferencing system to any network nexus to the unconstitutional, illegal and moral-less application by officers and employees to Govt. of Bihar involve directly as well as officers and employees to Govt. of India involve indirectly to vide letter No.18/09/P-2/08 dated 22.09.2008, issue by President's Secretariat, Rashtrapati Bhawan, New Delhi, PIN – 110004?

2. The CPIO, RTI Cell vide reply dated 02.05.2016 has responded that:-

"In this regard, it is stated that you may refer to RTI Act, 2005 & RTI Rules, 2012 which are already available in public domain."

3. The reply furnished by the CPIO is appropriate and does not require any intervention of the FAA, therefore, the appeal is disposed off.




[2]

4. The appellant has mentioned himself as the "**Agent to the Government**". It is not understood for which purpose he has been appointed as agent and which government has appointed him as its agent.

5. In case the appellant is aggrieved by the decision, he is free to file second appeal, if he so desires, before the CIC in Room No. 185, Ground Floor, August Kranti Bhawan, Bhikaji Cama Place, New Delhi-110066 against this order within 90 days.

Dated the 6th July, 2016.



(Achla Sinha)

Additional Secretary & First Appellate Authority

Tel: 2616229

Copy to:-

8/15/16

1. The CPIO, RTI Cell, CIC, New Delhi.