Central Information Commission
2nd Floor, 'B' Wing, August Kranti Bhawan,
Bhikaji Cama Place, New Delhi-110066.

CIC/AA/A/2016/212
CICOM/A/2016/00211
CICOM/R/2016/00434

Name of the appellant : Shri R.K. Jain,
1512-B, Bhishm Pitamah Marg, Wazir Nagar,
New Delhi -110 003.

1.	Date of RTI application	30.03.2016
2.	Date of reply of the RTI application	22.04.2016
3.	CPIO(s) who furnished reply	Registrar
4.	1st Appeal No. & Date	16261 dt. 12.05.2016
5.	Diary No. of 1st Appeal of the Dak Section	135158
6.	Diary date of the Dak Section	13.05.2016
7.	Diary date of 1st Appeal in the office of FAA	16.05.2016
8.	**Date of Hearing**	**13.07.2016**

Decision with reasons:-

1. In the appeal, the appellant has stated that Shri M.K. Sharma, Registrar has provided the point-wise information but he has not provided information on Point (B). On Point 'B', the appellant has sought the details of the duties and responsibilities of the Registrar, CIC and sought a copy thereof. The CPIO, RTI Cell has not forwarded the Point (B) to the Administration Section who is the holder of this information. **Direction is accordingly given to Shri Sushil Kumar, DS(Admin) cum CPIO to provide the information on Point (B) of the RTI application (copy enclosed) to the appellant within 5 working days from the date of receipt of the order.**

2. On Point (C), the appellant has stated in the appeal that the CPIO has not provided the copy of file movement and daily diary register. Shri M.K. Sharma, Registrar has informed that there is no file movement register as prescribed in manual of Office Procedure. The reply of the Registrar is factual, hence no direction can be given for providing the information, which is not held with the CPIO.

3. On Point (D) of the RTI application, the appellant has stated that Registrar has not provided information. On this point, the appellant has sought total number of files held in the office of Registrar as on 30.03.2016 or any other date of March, 2016 as is convenient/available. Shri M.K. Sharma, Registrar has enclosed a copy of the file register in his reply but not intimated the total number of files held as on the specific date. **Direction is accordingly given to Shri M.K. Sharma, Registrar to give the total number of files held in the office the Registrar on the date of providing the information within 5 working days from the date of receipt of the order.**

4. On Point (E), the appellant has mentioned in the appeal that misleading information has been provided by Registrar cum CPIO as appellant has merely sought the details of the files held in the office of the Registrar and not in the office of the Dy. Registrar. Since the CPIO has already given the copies of the file register maintained in the office of the Registrar, hence no further direction is required to be given.

5. On Point (G), the appellant has mentioned that the CPIO has provided incorrect, incomplete and misleading information. On this point, the appellant has sought criteria for listing of the larger bench case for hearing. The Registrar cum CPIO has intimated in his reply that there is no set criterion and the cases are fixed for hearing as per the convenience of the members of the bench. The reply of the CPIO is based on the information held by him and hence no intervention is required on the reply of the CPIO.

6. The appeal is, therefore, disposed off accordingly.

7. In case the appellant is aggrieved by the decision, he is free to file second appeal, if he so desires, before the CIC in Room No.185, Ground Floor, August Kranti Bhawan, Bhikaji Cama Place, New Delhi-110066 against this order within 90 days.

Dated the 20th August, 2016.

Achla Sinha

(Achla Sinha)
Additional Secretary & First Appellate Authority
Tel: 26162290

Copy to:-.

1. The CPIO, RTI Cell, CIC, New Delhi.
2. Shri M.K. Sharma, Registrar, CIC, New Delhi.
3. Shri Sushil Kumar, DS(Admin), CIC, New Delhi.