

Central Information Commission
2nd Floor, 'B' Wing, August Kranti Bhawan,
Bhikaji Cama Place, New Delhi-110066.

CIC/AA/A/2016/280
CICOM/A/2016/00277
CICOM/R/2016/00673

Name of the appellant : Shri T.K. Roy,
3/3A, Street No.5,
K-Block, Gangotri Vihar,
Delhi – 110 053.

1.	Date of RTI application	29.04.2016
2.	Date of reply of the RTI application	25.06.2016
3.	CPIO(s) who furnished reply	DO to IC(SA)
4.	Date of filing 1 st Appeal	12.07.2016
5.	Diary No. of 1 st Appeal of the Dak Section	154249
6.	Diary date of the Dak Section	13.07.2016
7.	Diary date of 1 st Appeal in the office of FAA	14.07.2016
8.	Date of Decision	22.08.2016

Brief facts of the case:-

1. On Points 1(a) & (b) of the RTI application, the appellant has sought following information in respect to CIC decisions No.CIC/RM/C/2015/000292-SA, CIC/SA/C/2015/000295 and CIC/SA/C/2015/000294:

"1(a) Date of receipt and filed the submitted three written submissions dated 04.04.2016 of the Complainant, TK Roy vides CIC Diary No.125805, No.125806 and No.125807 dated 04.04.2016 in the CIC file for information to IC, Shri M Sridhar Acharyulu for hearing and final decision in response to CIC's Notice of Hearing."

1(b) Photocopy of remarks/comments/note of the concerned IC Shri M Sridhar Acharyulu on the above referenced written submissions of the Complainant, TK Roy for hearing and final decision."

The CPIO, Shri Dinesh Kumar has only repeated the diary numbers without providing the information sought for by the appellant on 3 diary numbers. **Direction is accordingly given to Shri Dinesh Kumar, CPIO cum DO to IC(SA) to provide the factual information on Point-1(a) and also provide the photocopy as sought in Point 1(b), if any to the appellant within 5 working days from the date of receipt of the order.**

2. On Point 2 (a) to (c) of the RTI application, the appellant has sought photocopies of the written submissions submitted by the respondent Delhi University, date of their receipt with CIC diary numbers, photocopy of remarks/comments/note of the concerned IC, Shri M Sridhar Acharyulu on the written submissions of the CPIO/Appellate Authority/other Respondent of Delhi University. The reply of the CPIO that the said information is already available in public domain is not appropriate. **Direction is accordingly given to Shri Dinesh Kumar, CPIO cum DO to IC(SA) to provide the information as sought on these points to the appellant, if any within 5 working days from the date of receipt of the order.**


3. On Point 2(d) of the RTI application, the appellant has sought photocopy of CIC's attendance sheet signed by the complainant and respondents in the hearing held on 11.04.2016. The CPIO has responded that *"attendance sheet contains certain information/details, which cannot be given without any specific prior approval of the person concerned."* In this regard, **direction is given to Shri Dinesh Kumar, CPIO cum DO to IC(SA) to only intimate the names and designation of the persons attended the hearing as mentioned in the attendance sheet to the appellant within 5 working days from the date of receipt of the order.**

4. On Point 3(a) of the RTI application, the appellant has not sought any information and only referred the decision of the Commission dated 11.04.2016, therefore no direction can be given to CPIO.

5. The appeal is, therefore, disposed off accordingly.

6. In case the appellant is aggrieved by the decision, he is free to file second appeal, if he so desires, before the CIC in Room No. 185, August Kranti Bhawan, Bhikaji Cama Place, New Delhi-110066 against this order within 90 days.

Dated the 22nd August, 2016.



(Achla Sinha)

Additional Secretary & First Appellate Authority

Tele No : 011-26162290

Copy to:-

1. The CPIO, RTI Cell, CIC, New Delhi.
2. Shri Dinesh Kumar, DO to IC(SA), CIC, New Delhi.