

Central Information Commission  
2<sup>nd</sup> Floor, 'B' Wing, August Kranti Bhawan,  
Bhikaji Cama Place, New Delhi-110066.

CIC/AA/A/2016/356  
CICOM/A/2016/00353  
CICOM/R/2016/01012

Name of the appellant : Shri P.S. Sawhney,  
130 Section 45-A,  
Chandigarh – 160 047.

1.	Date of RTI application	17.07.2016
2.	Date of reply of the RTI application	11.08.2016
3.	CPIO(s) who furnished reply	DO to CIC(RK)
4.	Date of filing 1 <sup>st</sup> Appeal	17.08.2016
5.	Diary No. of 1 <sup>st</sup> Appeal of the Dak Section	166476
6.	Diary date of the Dak Section	22.08.2016
7.	Diary date of 1 <sup>st</sup> Appeal in the office of FAA	23.08.2016
8.	<b>Date of Decision</b>	<b>09.09.2016</b>

**Decision with reasons:-**

1. In the RTI application, the appellant has sought daily proceedings of the audio/video in respect of his 2<sup>nd</sup> appeal heard in the Commission. The CPIO, Shri S.C. Sharma has replied as under:-

*"No daily proceedings, i.e. record of the daily transactions by way of audio as well as video form is maintained."*

The reply of the CPIO is factual and is on the basis of available record, therefore, no intervention is required on the reply of the CPIO. It is to inform that no audio/video recordings are done on the hearings in the Commission and also Commission has not authorized anybody for the recording.

2. In the appeal, the appellant has suggested that the audio/video recordings should be introduced in the Commission. The appellant may send his suggestion separately to the Commission as this is out of ambit of the RTI.

3. The appeal is disposed off.

4. In case the appellant is aggrieved by the decision, he is free to file second appeal, if he so desires, before the CIC in Room No.185, Ground Floor, August Kranti Bhawan, Bhikaji Cama Place, New Delhi-110066 against this order within 90 days.

Dated the 9<sup>th</sup> September, 2016.



( Achla Sinha )

Additional Secretary & First Appellate Authority  
Tel: 26162290

Copy to:-

1. The CPIO, RTI Cell, CIC, New Delhi.