

Central Information Commission  
2<sup>nd</sup> Floor, 'B' Wing, August Kranti Bhawan,  
Bhikaji Cama Place, New Delhi-110066.

CIC/AA/A/2016/357  
CICOM/A/2016/00354  
CICOM/R/2016/00987

Name of the appellant : Shri Manohar Singh,  
B-33, Bhagwati Garden,  
Uttam Nagar,  
New Delhi – 59.

1.	Date of RTI application	15.07.2016
2.	Date of reply of the RTI application	06.09.2016
3.	CPIO(s) who furnished reply	CPIO, Dak Section
4.	Date of filing 1 <sup>st</sup> Appeal	18.08.2016
5.	Diary No. of 1 <sup>st</sup> Appeal of the Dak Section	166482
6.	Diary date of the Dak Section	22.08.2016
7.	Diary date of 1 <sup>st</sup> Appeal in the office of FAA	23.08.2016
8.	<b>Date of Decision</b>	<b>07.09.2016</b>

**Decision with reasons:-**

1. In the RTI application, the appellant has sought following information in respect of his application addressed to Shri Krishan Avtar Talwar, CPIO vide speed post No.ED99646713IN on 20.06.2016:-

*"6.1 On which date the aforesaid letter was received in the Central/Dispatch/ Receipt Section of Central Information Commission and*

*6.2 On which date the said letter was delivered to Shri Krishan Avtar Talwar or O/o Hon'ble Information Commissioner?"*

Mrs. Pushpa Janardhanan, CPIO, Dak Section vide letter dated 06.09.2016 has replied as under:-


*"1. Your letter dated 20.06.2016 has been received in Commission on 23.06.2016 and diarised vide Diary No 149525 dated 28-06-2016.*

*2. It was delivered to the office of registry DO to IC(KY) on 28-06-2016."*

2. In the appeal, the appellant has stated that the CPIO has failed to give a decision on the request for information within the period specified under the Act. Hence, CPIO is deemed to have refused the request.

3. As per RTI-MIS software of the Commission, the above said RTI application has been registered on 09.08.2016 and after that it was forwarded to the CPIO, Dak Section, Mrs. Pushpa Janardhanan and she has replied on 06.09.2016, which is within the time period of receipt of the RTI application by her.
4. The appellant has also stated in the appeal that the information is required to be provided to the appellant free of cost under Sec. 7(6) of the Act. The information as sought in the RTI application has already been provided to the appellant, hence it is not understood what information he is asking for free of cost.
5. Since there is no merit in the appeal, the appeal is dismissed.
6. In case the appellant is aggrieved by the decision, he is free to file second appeal, if he so desires, before the CIC in Room No. 185, Ground Floor, August Kranti Bhawan, Bhikaji Cama Place, New Delhi-110066 against this order within 90 days.

Dated the 7<sup>th</sup> September, 2016.



( Achla Sinha )  
Additional Secretary & First Appellate Authority  
Tel: 26162290

Copy to:-

1. The CPIO, RTI Cell, CIC, New Delhi.