## Central Information Commission 2<sup>nd</sup> Floor, 'B' Wing, August Kranti Bhawan, Bhikaji Cama Place, New Delhi-110066.

CIC/AA/A/2017/71 CICOM/A/2017/00065 CICOM/R/2017/00084

Name of the appellant:

Shri R.K. Mahajan,

C-27 Loksangam Vihar CHS, Near Medipoint Hospital, Nagras Road, Aundh, Pune – 411 007 (Mah).

1.	Date of RTI application	11.01.2017
2.	Date of reply of the RTI application	27.01.2017
3.	CPIO(s) who furnished reply	DO to IC(AB)
4.	1 <sup>st</sup> Appeal Date	11.02.2017
5.	Diary No. of 1 <sup>st</sup> Appeal of the Dak Section	114079
6.	Diary date of the Dak Section	02.03.2017
7.	Diary date of 1 <sup>st</sup> Appeal in the office of FAA	03.03.2017
8.	Date of Decision	06.03.2017

## Brief fact of the case:-

- 1. In the RTI application, the appellant has sought following two information in respect of case File No.CIC/RM/A/2014/002005:-
- "(a) Please provide me the video recordings of this hearing on the DVD as all files are stored for SIX months as per the CIC website.
  - (b) Please reopen the hearing since it was inconclusive."
- 2. Shri A.K. Talapatra, DR to IC(AB) cum CPIO has responded as under:-
  - "(a) Based on the documents provided by the appellant/Respondent and submission made during hearing order passed by the Commission.

Further it is submitted that no video conference proceedings are recorded in the CIC Registry. This is confirmed by NIC official also.

(b) Once the order of CIC is given there is no provision of review.

It is to inform you that the file as mentioned by you in your RTI application dated 11.01.2017 is not correct. The correct no. of file is CIC/RM/A/2014/002005."

## Decision with reasons:-

- 3. From the perusal of the RTI application, reply of the CPIO and request made in the appeal, it is observed that point-wise factual information has been provided by the CPIO, therefore, no intervention is required on the part of the FAA.
- C.I.C. / it is further to mention that the First Appellant Authority of the Commission has Golylifis diction to either comment or give his opinion on the decisions of the

0 b MAR 2017

THE HAM ( 0 P.T.O

90

- 5. The appellant has also sent a separate letter dated 10.02.2017 along with the appeal, which has been diarised as Dy.No.114081 dated 02.03.2017. In this letter, the appellant has mentioned that "I had requested information under RTI Act 2005 from Indian Air Force vide your file ref CIC/RM/A/2014/002005" and further mentioned points (a) to (h). It is not understood, what grievance is sought by the appellant through this letter. Since this first appeal filed in reference to RTI application dated 11.01.2017 has been attended to, no other letter/application can be entertained, in this regard.
- The appeal is, therefore, disposed off.
- 7. In case the appellant is aggrieved by the decision, he is free to file second appeal, if he so desires, before the CIC in Room No.185, Ground Floor, August Kranti Bhawan, Bhikaji Cama Place, New Delhi-110066 against this order within 90 days.

Dated the 06th March, 2017

(Rakesh Kumar Singh)

Additional Secretary & First Appellate Authority

Tel: 26162290

Copy to:-

1. The CPIO, RTI Cell, CIC, New Delhi,