

**Central Information Commission**  
2<sup>nd</sup> Floor, 'B' Wing, August Kranti Bhawan,  
Bhikaji Cama Place, New Delhi-110066.

CIC/AA/A/2017/88  
CICOM/A/2017/00082  
CICOM/R/2017/00212

Name of the appellant: Shri Sornam Balle,  
227/8, T.T.K. Road,  
Alwarpet,  
Chennai – 600 018.

1.	Date of RTI application	25.02.2017
2.	Date of reply of the RTI application	03.03.2017
3.	CPIO who gave the reply	CPIO, RTI Cell
3.	1 <sup>st</sup> Appeal Date	11.03.2017
4.	Diary No. of 1 <sup>st</sup> Appeal of the Dak Section	118328
5.	Diary date of the Dak Section	20.03.2017
6.	Diary date of 1 <sup>st</sup> Appeal in the office of FAA	21.03.2017
7.	Date of Decision	23.03.2017

**Brief facts of the case:-**

In the RTI application, the appellant has sought following information:-

- "1. I have approached General Manager, SBI, local head office, Chennai 600006, who is also First Appellate Authority, through my 1 Appeal under RTI Act, since I was not satisfied with the reply received from their CPIO against my RTI application submitted to him.
2. G.M. cum FAA had issued an order without calling for personal hearing inspite of my request. Since I was not satisfied with his order, I wrote to him on this, for which he replied as below:-  
"This has reference to your letter dt 12.10.2016 received by us on 13.10.2016. In this, we advise that there is no provision in the RTI Act for personal hearing of the Appellant by the Appellate Authority of the Bank."
3. I request you to kindly confirm that the stand took by GM cum FAA of the Bank is correct as per RTI Act 2005."

**Decision with reasons:-**

2. On perusal of the RTI application, reply of the CPIO and request made in the appeal, it is observed that Shri Ashok Kumar Sharma, CPIO, RTI Cell of the Commission has rightly replied that CPIO is not competent to give his comments/advice on the decision of the First Appellate Authority, therefore, no intervention is required on the part of the FAA. However, the appellant is advised to approach Central Information Commission as per procedure explained by the CPIO in his reply, if he is not satisfied with decisions of the FAA-SBI.

The appeal is, therefore, disposed off.

C.I.C. / के. सू. आ.

RECEIVED

24 MAR 2017

Initials:.....

C. I. C. / के. सू. आ.

ISSUED

24 MAR 2017 P.T.O.

D. No. ....

Initials:.....

[2]

4. In case the appellant is aggrieved by the decision, he is free to file second appeal, if he so desires, before the CIC in Room No.185, Ground Floor, August Kranti Bhawan, Bhikaji Cama Place, New Delhi-110066 against this order within 90 days.

Dated the 23<sup>rd</sup> March, 2017

  
( Rakesh Kumar Singh )

Additional Secretary & First Appellate Authority

Tel. 26162290

Copy to:-

1. The CPIO, RTI Cell, CIC, New Delhi

*Am*  
*24/03/17*