

Central Information Commission
2nd Floor, 'B' Wing, August Kranti Bhawan,
Bhikaji Cama Place, New Delhi-110066.

CIC/AA/A/2017/96
CICOM/A/2017/00090
CICOM/R/2016/01617

Name of the appellant: Shri.Aseem Takyar,
Plot No.144, Phase-I,
Udyog Vihar,
Gurgaon - 122 016.

1.	Date of RTI application	09.12.2016
2.	Date of reply of the RTI application	24.01.2017
3.	CPIO who gave the reply	CPIO/Consultant(M&R)
3.	1 st Appeal Date	16.02.2017
4.	Diary No. of 1 st Appeal of the Dak Section	Nil
5.	Diary date of the Dak Section	Nil
6.	Diary date of 1 st Appeal in the office of FAA	30.03.2017
7.	Date of Decision	07.04.2017

Brief facts of the case:-

This first appeal has been received in the office of the FAA on 30.03.2017 from Shri A.K. Gehlot, JS(M&R). In the RTI application, the appellant has sought following information:-

- 1) Please provide information containing list of the number of complaints and second appeal pending with Hon'ble Central Information Commission, filed by the complainants and appellants against the Board of Control for Cricket in India, 'BCCI'.
- 2) Further, to Question No(1) and (2), provide information, since from which each date, complaints and appeals are pending.
- 3) Further, to Question No (1) & (2), provide names of the complainants and appellants, whom filed the petitions.

2. Shri Jeewan Chandra, CPIO/Consultant(M&R) vide his letter dated 24.01.2017 has replied that:-

"Please refer to your above referred RTI application Regn. No.CICOM/R/2016/01618 dt.22.12.2016 seeking information under RTI Act, 2005 so far as information available with JS(MR) Section it is stated that Board of Control for Cricket in India (BCCI) is not a Public Authority."

3. In the appeal, the appellant has submitted that the CPIO, in response to all three questions had stated that "BCCI is not Public Authority but he did not asked, as to whether, BCCI is a public authority or not. His all three questions are entirely different to what seems to be presumed by the CPIO, therefore, CPIO has not provided the information, which was sought.

C.I.C. / के. सू. आ.

RECEIVED

07 APR 2017

Initials: _____

P.T.O.

Decision with reasons:-

4. On perusal the RTI application, reply of the CPIO and appeal, it is observed that the information furnished by the CPIO is not appropriate. Direction is accordingly given to Shri Jeewan Chandra, Consultant/CPIO(MR) to re-visit the RTI application and if required take the assistance of Shri A.K. Gehlot, JS(MR) and provide point-wise specific information as sought in the RTI application to the appellant within 2 weeks from the date of receipt of the order.

5. In case the appellant is aggrieved by the decision, he is free to file second appeal, if he so desires, before the CIC in Room No.185, Ground Floor, August Kranti Bhawan, Bhikaji Cama Place, New Delhi-110066 against this order within 90 days.

Dated the 7th April, 2017

(Rakesh Kumar Singh)

Additional Secretary & First Appellate Authority

Tel: 26162290

Copy to:-

- ✓ 1. The CPIO, RTI Cell, CIC, New Delhi.
2. Shri Jeewan Chandra, CPIO/Consultant(M&R), CIC, New Delhi.

Assn
7/04/17

7/4/2017