

Central Information Commission  
2<sup>nd</sup> Floor, 'B' Wing, August Kranti Bhawan,  
Bhikaji Cama Place, New Delhi-110066.

CIC/AA/A/2017/103  
CICOM/A/2017/00097  
CICOM/R/2017/00011

Name of the appellant : Dr. Harish Malhotra,  
17, Good Earth Colony,  
Patiala  
Pin – 147 001.

1.	Date of RTI application	28.12.2016
2.	Date of reply of the RTI application	17.01.2017
3.	CPIO(s) who furnished reply	CPIO (CR Section)
4.	1 <sup>st</sup> Appeal Date	13.02.2017
5.	Diary No. of 1 <sup>st</sup> Appeal of the Dak Section	110505
6.	Diary date of the Dak Section	16.02.2017
7.	Date of receipt of 1 <sup>st</sup> Appeal in the office of FAA	07.04.2017
8.	Date of Decision	19.04.2017

This first appeal has been inadvertently marked to other section of the CIC and received in the office of FAA on 07.04.2017.


**Brief facts of the case:-**

2. In the RTI application, the appellant has sought record of file notings etc in case of Anavi Malhotra and Ananya Malhotra for exploring ordinary delay in redressal of his daughters' genuine grievance.

3. CPIO (CR) vide letter dated 17.01.2017 has informed the appellant that *"No record for the information sought is available in this office as no noting are done in CIC."*

**Decision with reasons:-**

4. Since the appellant has not mentioned any diary numbers of the cases and to know the facts, the appellant was contacted over his given mobile number on 15.04.2017. The appellant was heard in detail and it came to light that her two daughters namely Ananya Malhotra and Anavi Malhotra have filed two complaints/appeals separately in the Commission. The complaint/appeals of Ananya Malhotra was returned to the appellant with the instruction to re-submit the complaint/appeal after attending shortcomings mentioned in the Defect Memo, which her daughter attended and re-submitted to the Commission. A one page Defect



P.T.O.

Memo. was also received in respect of her second daughter Anavi Malhotra without documents, however, Anavi Malhotra re-submitted a copy of the complaint/appeal after attending all deficiencies. After that the appellant (father of the above two daughters) had sent 3 reminders about the status of these two complaints/appeals but has not received any response. Therefore, the appellant sought record of file notings of these two cases in his RTI application.

5. The cases of his two daughters have been got searched out and after a thorough search, it is found that the complaint case of Ananya Malhotra has been registered vide case File No.CIC/DHNCT/C/2017/191926. As regards the case of Anavi Malhotra, it is observed that two diary numbers i.e. 185602 dated 16.11.2016 and 180642 dated 21.10.2016 are showing in the computerized dak management system. Direction in this regard is given to Shri Krishan Avtar Talwar, CPIO & DR to CR-I to provide the status of above mentioned two diary numbers to the appellant within 2 weeks from the date of receipt of the order.

6. The appeal is, therefore, disposed off.

7. In case the appellant is aggrieved by the decision, he is free to file second appeal, if he so desires, before the CIC in Room No.185, Ground Floor, August Kranti Bhawan, Bhikaji Cama Place, New Delhi-110066 against this order within 90 days.

Dated the 19<sup>th</sup> April, 2017

( Rakesh Kumar Singh )  
Additional Secretary & First Appellate Authority  
Tel: 26162290

Copy to:

1. The CPIO, RTI Cell, CIC, New Delhi.
2. Shri Krishan Avtar Talwar, CPIO & DR to CR-I, CIC, New Delhi.

19/4