Central Information Commission
2nd Floor, 'B' Wing, August Kranti Bhawan,
Bhikaji Cama Place, New Delhi-110066.

CIC/AA/A/2017/107
CICOM/A/2017/00101
CICOM/R/2016/001397

Name of the appellant : Shri Vihar Durve,
573/1, Pawan Vihar,
Near Sai Pump,
J.M. Road,
Pune – 411 004.

1.	Date of RTI application	24.09.2016
2.	Date of reply of the RTI application	25.10.2016
3.	CPIO(s) who furnished reply	CPIO, RTI Cell
4.	1st Appeal Date	23.11.2016 received through Sr. Postmaster, Pune City vide letter dated 29.11.2016
5.	Diary No. of 1st Appeal of the Dak Section	189906
6.	Diary date of the Dak Section	09.12.2016
7.	Date of receipt of 1st Appeal in the office of FAA	07.04.2017
8.	**Date of Decision**	**17.04.2017**

Brief facts of the case:-

This appeal has been sent to some other section inadvertently and received in the office of the First Appellate Authority on 07.04.2017.

2. In the RTI application has sought 7 points information regarding action taken on his email regarding removal of photos of politicians from CIC website sent to Chief Information Commission, all Information Commissioners and Deputy Secretary to Secretary of CIC. He also sent the same email to the President of India, Prime Minister of India, Supreme Court of India and to some MPs. In related to this email, the appellant also sought information on

O/C

3. The CPIO, RTI Cell has sought information under section 5(4) from the PA to Chief Information Commissioner who intimated that:

"Information asked for is not available in this office."

4. CPIO, RTI Cell has informed the same to the appellant and aggrieved with the response, the appellant has filed this appeal. In the grounds of appeal, the appellant has stated that:-

C.I.C. / सू. आ.
RECEIVED
17 APR 2017
Initials

P.T.O.

"Refer to my RTI application dtd 24/9/2016. (attached herewith) Wrong incomplete information was furnished by CPIO vide order dated CICOM/R/2016/01397. I had emailed on 24th Sept 2016 relating to Remove Photos of Politicians from Central Info Commission web site... CPIO didn't Mr. Ashok Kumar Sharma didn't forward my RTI to CPIO who is in custody of email received by Hon'ble Information Commission. Hence, CPIO Mr Sharma may kindly directed to forward my RTI to CPIO who is in custody of email received by Hon'ble Information Commission & concerned CCPIO (who is in custody of email received by Hon'ble Information Commission) may kindly be directed to furnish me following aspectwise information....."

Decision with reasons:-

5. From the perusal of the RTI application, reply of the CPIO and request made in the appeal, it is observed that the reply furnished by the CPIO is appropriate and no intervention is required on the part of the FAA.

6. The appeal is, therefore, disposed off.

7. In case the appellant is aggrieved by the decision, he is free to file second appeal, if he so desires, before the CIC in Room No.185, Ground Floor, August Kranti Bhawan, Bhikaji Cama Place, New Delhi-110066 against this order within 90 days.

Dated the 17th April, 2017

(Rakesh Kumar Singh)
Additional Secretary & First Appellate Authority
Tel: 26162290

Copy to:-

1. The CPIO, RTI Cell, CIC, New Delhi.

17/4/17