

Central Information Commission
2nd Floor, 'B' Wing, August Kranti Bhawan,
Bhikaji Cama Place, New Delhi-110066.

CIC/AA/A/2017/118
CICOM/A/2017/00112
CICOM/R/2016/01415

Name of the appellant : Shri Prem Prakash Prajapati,
Industrial Area, Khurai Road,
Bina, Distt. Sagar
(MP) – 470 113.

1.	Date of RTI application	15.10.2016
2.	Date of reply of the RTI application	04.11.2016
3.	CPIO(s) who furnished reply	CPIO, RTI Cell
4.	1 st Appeal Date	30.11.2016
5.	Diary No. of 1 st Appeal of the Dak Section	190166
6.	Diary date of the Dak Section	12.12.2016
7.	Date of receipt of 1 st Appeal in the office of FAA	07.04.2017
8.	Date of Decision	12.04.2017

Brief facts of the case:-

In the RTI application, the appellant has sought following information:-

"If even after the order of CIC to provide the desired copy of document, the CPIO provide misleading document then provide the Name and Address of the competent authority to whom complaint/Appeal is to be done against the CPIO."

2. Shri Ashok Kumar Sharma, CPIO, RTI Cell vide his letter No.CICOM/R/2016/01415 dated 04.11.2016 has informed the appellant that:-

"In this regard, it is stated that assistance was sought u/s 5(4) of the RTI Act, 2005 from Dr. M.K. Sharma, Registrar, CIC. The information is as under:-

"In cases of non-compliance of orders of the Commission the information seeker may address a letter to this Commission point out the exact point of non-compliance."

3. In the appeal, the appellant reiterated the same and stated that as per letter No.CICOM/R/2016/01415, dated 04.11.2016 he is supposed to write to FAA, CIC.

Decision with reasons:-

4. On perusal of the RTI application, reply of the CPIO and appeal, it is observed that the appellant has not understood the reply furnished to him. If the appellant has received misleading information from a CPIO of the public authority in compliance to

C.I.C. / RECEIVED

13 APR 2017

Initials: laly


P.T.O.

the Commission's order, he may file non-compliance of order of the Commission by addressing a letter to this Commission and mention the exact point of non-compliance. Hence, the appellant is advised that if he has received misleading information in compliance to the Commission's order, he may file a non-compliance case in this Commission.

5. The appeal is, therefore, disposed off.

6. In case the appellant is aggrieved by the decision, he is free to file second appeal, if he so desires, before the CIC in Room No.185, Ground Floor, August Kranti Bhawan, Bhikaji Cama Place, New Delhi-110066 against this order within 90 days.

Dated the 12th April, 2017



(Rakesh Kumar Singh)
Additional Secretary & First Appellate Authority
Tel: 26162290

Copy to:-

1. The CPIO, RTI Cell, CIC, New Delhi.

