Central Information Commission
2nd Floor, 'B' Wing, August Kranti Bhawan,
Bhikaji Cama Place, New Delhi-110066.

CIC/AA/A/2017/134
CICOM/A/2017/00128
CICOM/R/2017/00210

Name of the appellant : Shri P.D. Raphael,
Pudussery House,
Bread Company Junction,
Near St. Joseph's Church,
PO Pullazhy, Thrissur-680 012.

1.	Date of RTI application	18.02.2017
2.	Date of reply of the RTI application	01.03.2017 & 07.03.2017
3.	CPIO(s) who furnished reply	DO to IC(MP) & SO(Admn)
4.	1st Appeal Date	05.04.2017
5.	Diary No. of 1st Appeal of the Dak Section	124537
6.	Diary date of the Dak Section	14.04.2017
7.	Date of receipt of 1st Appeal in the office of FAA	18.04.2017
8.	**Date of Decision**	**19.04.2017**

Brief facts of the case:-

In the RTI application, the appellant has sought 8 points information in respect of case File No.CIC/RM/A/2012/000950, CIC/RM/C/2014/000353-SA, FAA, CIC Order No.CIC/AA/A/2016/57 dated 13.06.2016 and name, address of authority for filing public complaints against bribery and corruption against officers and Hon'ble Information Commissioners of CIC.

Decision with reasons:-

2. From the perusal of the appeal, it is observed that the appellant is aggrieved with reply on Points 1,2,4, 7 & 8 of the RTI application. **On Points 1,2 & 4, the matter was inquired from Shri R.L. Gupta, DO to IC(MP) cum CPIO who intimated that he has now received the case files, therefore, direction is given to him to provide information as sought on these points to the appellant within 2 weeks from the date of receipt of the order.**

O/C

3. On point 7, the appellant has stated in the appeal that Shri S.P. Beck, JS(A) is the Chief Vigilance Officer but the CPIO did not state whether the public can file the complaints against bribery and corruption against officers of the registry of Hon'ble CIC before him and whether he has the power to initiate disciplinary action against the erring officers of CIC.

RECEIVED
2 [illegible] 2017

P.T.O.

4. The similar information has been sought in respect of Hon'ble Information Commissioners on Point 8 of the RTI application on which SO(Admn) & CPIO has mentioned that this point is not concerned with Admn Section and transferred the same to RTI Cell for appropriate action. This is an administrative matter, therefore, this information is also concerned with the Admin Section.

5. In view of the above, **direction is given to Mrs. Savita Taluja, SO(Admin) cum CPIO to revisit the matter on Points 7 & 8 of the RTI application and provide suitable information to the appellant within 2 weeks from the date of receipt of the order.**

6. The appeal is, therefore, disposed off.

7. In case the appellant is aggrieved by the decision, he is free to file second appeal, if he so desires, before the CIC in Room No.185, Ground Floor, August Kranti Bhawan, Bhikaji Cama Place, New Delhi-110066 against this order within 90 days.

Dated the 19th April, 2017

(Rakesh Kumar Singh)
Additional Secretary & First Appellate Authority
Tel: 26162290

Copy to:- 21/4/17

1. The CPIO, RTI Cell, CIC, New Delhi.
2. Shri R.L. Gupta, DO to IC(MP) cum CPIO, CIC, New Delhi.
3. Mrs. Savita Taluja, SO(Admin) cum CPIO, CIC, New Delhi.

21/4/17

21/04/17