

Central Information Commission
2nd Floor, 'B' Wing, August Kranti Bhawan,
Bhikaji Cama Place, New Delhi-110066.

CIC/AA/A/2017/166
CICOM/A/2017/00160
CICOM/R/2017/00262

Name of the appellant: Shri K.S. Jain,
#27, IAS Officers Colony,
16th Main, 5th B Cross,
BTM Layout, IInd Stage,
Bangalore – 560 076.

1.	Date of RTI application	06.03.2017
2.	Date of reply of the RTI application	11.04.2017
3.	CPIO who gave the reply	DO & CPIO to IC(BJ)
3.	1 st Appeal Date	20.04.2017
4.	Diary No. of 1 st Appeal of the Dak Section	128107
5.	Diary date of the Dak Section	29.04.2017
6.	Diary date of 1 st Appeal in the office of FAA	01.05.2017
7.	Date of Decision	02.05.2017

Brief facts of the case:-

In the RTI application, the appellant has sought following information:-


- "1. Information if our letter NO.J5/626/2016-17 dtd:15-11-2016 was read by CIC or IC in Central Information Commission.
 2. If filed, it was filed at whose instruction?
 3. If destroyed, it was destroyed at whose instruction.
 4. If no action taken it is at whose instruction."
2. Shri K.L. Das, CPIO & DO to IC(BJ) vide letter dated 11.04.2017 has responded that:-

"..Point No.1 There is nothing on record to say whether it was read by CIC/IC or not.

Point Nos 2&4 No such information is available on record.

Point No.3 It has not been destroyed."

- o/c
3. Aggrieved with the response of the CPIO, the appellant has filed this first appeal. On the grounds of the appeal, the appellant has stated that there must be set instructions for disposal of dak received. CPIO's reply is not digestible that nobody has seen and put any remark. The letter is lying as it is in the section. This shows there is no system followed in CIC for disposal. This cannot be and therefore I may be provided with the required information as per my RTI application.




P.T.O.

Decision with reasons:-

4. On perusal of the RTI application, reply of the CPIO and appeal, it is observed that the CPIO has provided point-wise factual information to the appellant on the basis of the record available with him, therefore, no intervention is required on the part of the FAA.
5. The appeal is, therefore, disposed off.
6. In case the appellant is aggrieved by the decision, he is free to file second appeal, if he so desires, before the CIC in Room No.185, Ground Floor, August Kranti Bhawan, Bhikaji Cama Place, New Delhi-110066 against this order within 90 days.

Dated the 2nd May, 2017


(Rakesh Kumar Singh)
Additional Secretary & First Appellate Authority
Tel: 26162290

Copy to:-

1. The CPIO, RTI Cell, CIC, New Delhi
- Ann*
3/05/17