

Central Information Commission  
2<sup>nd</sup> Floor, 'B' Wing, August Kranti Bhawan,  
Bhikaji Cama Place, New Delhi-110066.

CIC/AA/A/2017/169  
CICOM/A/2017/00163  
CICOM/R/2017/00109

Name of the appellant: Shri B. Bharathi,  
57, Kavi Kuli Street,  
Ashok Nagar, Lawspet,  
Pondicherry – 605 008.

|    |   |                |
|----|---|----------------|
| 1. | Date of RTI application                                   | 22.01.2017     |
| 2. | Date of reply of the RTI application                      | 02.03.2017     |
| 3. | CPIO who gave the reply                                   | DO to Chief IC |
| 3. | 1 <sup>st</sup> Appeal Date                               | 24.04.2017     |
| 4. | Diary No. of 1 <sup>st</sup> Appeal of the Dak Section    | 129946         |
| 5. | Diary date of the Dak Section                             | 04.05.2017     |
| 6. | Diary date of 1 <sup>st</sup> Appeal in the office of FAA | 05.05.2017     |
| 7. | Date of Decision  | 08.05.2017     |

**Brief facts of the case:-**

In the RTI application, the appellant has sought following information:-

*"A. kindly provide the certified copies of my emails/letters/complaints submitted to the Chief Information Commissioners and other officials on 09.12.2016, 11.12.2016, 20.12.2016, 25.12.2016 for issuing original orders dated 24.10.2016 and for taking action against CPIO cum Registrar (administration), Madras High Court and subsequent action taken by the Chief Information Commissioner and CIC.*

*B. Kindly provide the documents or orders based on which CIC decided not to take action against the CPIO cum Registrar (Admn), Madras High Court for not complying its orders dated 24.10.2016 in my second appeals and complaints.*

*C. Kindly provide the copies of file notes and related documents for the decision of central information commission not to take action against the CPIO cum Registrar (Admn), Madras High Court for not complying its orders dated 24.10.2016 in my second appeals and complaints (ref: email of Mr. subash Sharma, Deputy registrar's email dated 23.12.2016).*


*D. Kindly provide me the information pertaining to the action taken by CIC in my complaints and requests for taking action against CPIO, MHC for complying its orders dated 24.10.2016."*

2. Shri S.C. Sharma, DO & CPIO to CIC vide letter dated 02.03.2017 has responded that:-

*"A. The documents were sent by you and would be available with you.*

*B. On the basis of the stay order of the Honourable High Court of Madras. Copy enclosed.*

*C&D. Information not available."*



3. In the appeal, the appellant has stated that reply received from CPIO after 30 days. He is aggrieved that CPIO has not provided certified copies of information sought in the RTI application and the information provided is incomplete and misleading. The CPIO is subjected to penal action for providing information after 30 days and claimed compensation of Rs.50,000/-. All information be provided free of cost. He also stated in the appeal that the first appeal is not filed within 30 days because of his court work and personal work and requested to condone the delay.


**Decision with reasons:-**

4. On perusal of the RTI application, reply of the CPIO and appeal, it is observed that the CPIO has provided point-wise factual and appropriate information to the appellant. Therefore, no intervention is required on the part of the FAA, in this case.

5. The appeal is disposed off accordingly.

6. In case the appellant is aggrieved by the decision, he is free to file second appeal, if he so desires, before the CIC in Room No.185, Ground Floor, August Kranti Bhawan, Bhikaji Cama Place, New Delhi-110066 against this order within 90 days.

Dated the 08<sup>th</sup> May, 2017

  
( Rakesh Kumar Singh )  
Additional Secretary & First Appellate Authority  
Tel: 26162290

Copy to:-

1. Shri Ashok Kumar Sharma, CPIO, RTI Cell, CIC, New Delhi

08/05/17