Central Information Commission
2nd Floor, 'B' Wing, August Kranti Bhawan,
Bhikaji Cama Place, New Delhi-110066.

CIC/AA/A/2017/181
CICOM/A/2017/00175
CICOM/R/2017/00463

Name of the appellant : Shri Hukma Raj Badala,
Shreeji Computers,
PO – BEDA – 306126,
Distt. Pali (Raj).

1.	Date of RTI application	06.04.2017
2.	Date of reply of the RTI application	01.05.2017
3.	CPIO(s) who furnished reply	CPIO & DO to IC(AB)
4.	1st Appeal Date	12.05.2017
5.	Diary No. of 1st Appeal of the Dak Section	134646
6.	Diary date of the Dak Section	23.05.2017
7.	Date of receipt of 1st Appeal in the office of FAA	24.05.2017
8.	**Date of Decision**	**31.05.2017**

Brief facts of the case:-

In the RTI application, the appellant has sought 2 points information in respect of case File Nos. CIC/VS/A/2014/3611/RK and CIC/VS/A/2015/003682.

2. Shri A.K. Talpatra, CPIO & DO to IC(AB) vide letter dated 01.05.2017 has furnished following information:-

"Point no.1- The case no.CIC/VS/A/2014/003611/RK hearing held on 20.01.2017 does not pertains to you.

Points no.2 – The case no.CIC/VS/A/2015/003682 heard on 06.02.2017 and order of the CIC was issued to the respondent CPIO and appellant. A copy of the same is enclosed. Your query in this regard is not clear as to what you desire.

As regards to your letter dated 23.02.2017 in which you have mentioned that your appeal no.CIC/VS/A/2015/003611/RK which was heard on 20.01.2017, it is to inform you that as per the record available in this registry, this case is not registered/heard by the CIC"

Decision with reasons:-

0/C

3. On perusal of the RTI application, reply of the CPIO and appeal, it is observed that the reply furnished by the CPIO is appropriate, therefore, no intervention is required on the part of the FAA.

4. In the appeal, the appellant has stated that decision of IC says that there are deficiencies in the reply of the respondent CPIO but could not penalize the CPIO. It shows very liberal views towards CPIO who are not relevant and allowed to respond and are not responding. As such matter may kindly be reviewed and necessary instruction be issued under intimation to us. In this regard, it is to mention that the FAA, CIC has no authority to either comment or give opinion on the decision of the Commission.

5. The appeal is, therefore, disposed off.

6. In case the appellant is aggrieved by the decision, he is free to file second appeal, if he so desires, before the CIC in Room No.185, Ground Floor, August Kranti Bhawan, Bhikaji Cama Place, New Delhi-110066 against this order within 90 days.

Dated the 31st May, 2017

(Rakesh Kumar Singh)
Additional Secretary & First Appellate Authority
Tel: 26162290

Copy to:-

1. The CPIO, RTI Cell, CIC, New Delhi.