## Central Information Commission 2<sup>nd</sup> Floor, 'B' Wing, August Kranti Bhawan, Bhikaji Cama Place, New Delhi-110066.

CIC/AA/A/2017/202 CICOM/A/2017/00195 CICOM/R/2017/00270

Name of the appellant:

Shri N.P. Sinha,

57, Nandanpuri,

Khajpura,

Patna - 800 014.

1.	Date of RTI application	06.03.2017
2.	Date of reply of the RTI application	29.03.2017
3.	CPIO(s) who furnished reply	DO to IC(SH)
4.	1 <sup>st</sup> Appeal Date	12.06.2017
5.	Diary No. of 1 <sup>st</sup> Appeal of the Dak Section	141278
6.	Diary date of the Dak Section	16.06.2017
7.	Date of receipt of 1 <sup>st</sup> Appeal in the office of FAA	20.06.2017
8.	Date of Decision	27.06.2017

## Brief facts of the case:-

In the RTI application, the appellant has sought 05 points information in respect of his emails dated 04.02.17, 07.02.17, 13.02.17 and his two written submissions sent by registered post dated 04.02.17 and 06.02.17. He also sought procedure if the order of the Commission has not been complied with and copies of order sheet and report of IDBI (respondent) available in the 08 case files as mentioned in the RTI applications.

- 2. Aggrieved with the response dated 29.03.2017 of Shri Vijay Bhalla, CPIO & DO to IC(SH) in response to the RTI application, the appellant has filed this first appeal.
- 3. On Points (ii), (iii) & (v) of the RTI application, the appellant has stated in the appeal that no information has been provided by the CPIO in his letter dated 29.03.2017. Copies of the concerned pages in which his submission had been recorded have not been provided as yet. He further stated that CPIO has provided 8 proformas in place of ordersheet, which is not proper. He has reminded CPIO vide email dated 01.05.17, 12.05.17 and 25.05.17 for providing him certified copies of ordersheets. But neither any reply nor any copy of ordersheet has been sent by CPIO and requested to supply certified copies of the documents in which his submission had been recorded, as mentioned in Points (ii), (iii), (v) of the RTI application. Pro सु अग्र

P.T.O. -11 TED

27 JUN 2017 Cole 2

90

## Decision with reasons:-

- 4. Shri Vijay Bhalla, CPIO & DO to IC(SH) was called for and on points (ii), (iii) & (v) of the RTI application, he informed that no such document is available on record on which submissions of the appellant is recorded other than appellant's own documents and order of the Commission.
- 5. On Point (vii) of the RTI application, the appellant has alleged that instead of order sheet, the CPIO has provided 08 proformas. In this regard, CPIO, Shri Vijay Bhalla has informed that only this proforma is available on record in concerned case files in which date of proceeding of appeal/complaint etc is mentioned. No other document with the heading order sheet is available.
- 6. In view of the above, it is observed that CPIO has provided factual information to the appellant, therefore, no intervention is required on the part of the FAA.
- 7. The appeal is, therefore, disposed off.
- 8. In case the appellant is aggrieved by the decision, he is free to file second appeal, if he so desires, before the CIC in Room No.185, Ground Floor, August Kranti Bhawan, Bhikaji Cama Place, New Delhi-110066 against this order within 90 days.

Dated the 27th June, 2017

( Rakesh Kumar Singh ) Additional Secretary & First Appellate Authority

Tel: 26162290

Copy to:-

The CPIO, RTI Cell, CIC, New Delhi.