Central Information Commission
2nd Floor, 'B' Wing, August Kranti Bhawan,
Bhikaji Cama Place, New Delhi-110066.

CIC/AA/A/2017/214
CICOM/A/2017/00207
CICOM/R/2017/00618

Name of the appellant : Shri R.K. Jain,
1512-B, Bhishm Pitamah Marg, Wazir Nagar,
New Delhi-110 003.

1.	Date of RTI application	19.05.2017
2.	Date of reply of the RTI application	08.06.17, 06.17, 21.06.17, 19.06.17, 16.06.17, 15.06.17, 09.06.17, 20.01.17, 14.06.17, 30.06.17
3.	CPIO(s) who furnished reply	DOs to IC(DP), IC(MP), IC(SB), IC(SA), IC(YA), IC(SH), Chief IC, IC(BJ), IC(AB), CPIO(CR II)
4.	1st Appeal No. & Date	16754 dt. 23.06.2017
5.	Diary No. of 1st Appeal of the Dak Section	143200
6.	Diary date of the Dak Section	27.06.2017
7.	Diary date of 1st Appeal in the office of FAA	30.06.2017
8.	**Date of Hearing**	**07.07.2017**

The appellant was present. Shri Ashok Kumar Sharma, CPIO, RTI Cell and Shri H.P. Sen, DO to IC(DP) were also present.

Brief facts of the case:-

2. During the hearing, the appellant has stated that Hon'ble Delhi High Court by order dated 14.01.2016 in the case of Power Finance Corporation Vs Ms. Sushma Singh, WP No.5251/2014 have directed for numbering of non-compliance applications differently and are to be placed in a separate file. In this connection, he sought certain information from the registry of all ICs. On point (C), Shri H.P. Sen, DO to IC(DP) has denied the information with the remarks that no specific file for inspection has been sought and moreover, inspection of files concerning third parties cannot be allowed under section 8(i)(j). CPIO has wrongly denied the information on the pretext that the specific files have not been indicated. The records of the non-compliance complaints against the CIC orders is in the exclusive possession and knowledge of the CPIOs/Deemed CPIOs, therefore, the appellant is not required to specify any particular file as he has sought information for all non compliance cases arising during limited period. He pleaded that point (C) of the RTI application may be remanded back to the CPIO.

C. I. C./के० सू० आ०
RECEIVED
07 JUL 2017
D. No.....
Dals.....

P.T.O.

3. During the hearing, the appellant has been informed that a mechanism has already been devised, in this regard, in the Commission. Specifically to deal with the non-compliance cases, a Compliance Cell has been established. Now all non-compliance cases are uploaded in the AppsCom software and registry concerned are taking action on it. An order, in this regard was also issued by Registrar, CIC vide No.11/JS(Law)/2016/CIC dated 29.11.2016. The appellant was then shown the uploaded approved, to be approved non-compliance cases in the system and asked the appellant that the very purpose of his RTI application has been meted out. The appellant praised the efforts made by the Commission.

4. In view of the above, **Shri H.P. Sen, CPIO & DO to IC(DP) is directed to re-visit Point (C) of the RTI application and provide suitable information to the appellant within 2 weeks from the date of receipt of the order.**

5. The appellant has not pressed other points of the RTI application.

6. The appeal is, therefore, disposed off.

7. In case the appellant is aggrieved by the decision, he is free to file second appeal, if he so desires, before the CIC in Room No.185, Ground Floor, August Kranti Bhawan, Bhikaji Cama Place, New Delhi-110066 against this order within 90 days.

Dated the 07th July, 2017

(Rakesh Kumar Singh)
Additional Secretary & First Appellate Authority
Tel: 26162290

Copy to:-

1. Shri Ashok Kumar Sharma, CPIO, RTI Cell, CIC, New Delhi.
2. Shri H.P. Sen, CPIO cum DO to IC(DP), Old JNU, CIC, New Delhi.