

Central Information Commission
2nd Floor, 'B' Wing, August Kranti Bhawan,
Bhikaji Cama Place, New Delhi-110066.

CIC/AA/A/2017/0300
CICOM/A/2017/00292
CICOM/R/2017/01114

Name of the appellant : Shri B. Parameshwar,
5-4-89, Bhawani Colony,
Premavathipeta,
PO: Rajendranagar,
Hyderabad – 500 030.

| | | |
|----|--|-------------|
| 1. | Date of RTI application | 01.09.2017 |
| 2. | Date of reply of the RTI application | 18.09.2017 |
| 3. | CPIO(s) who furnished reply | CPIO(MR) |
| 4. | 1 st Appeal Date | 14.10.2017 |
| 5. | Diary No. of 1 st Appeal of the Dak Section | 172659 |
| 6. | Diary date of the Dak Section | 18.10.2017. |
| 7. | Date of receipt of 1 st Appeal in the office of FAA | 20.10.2017 |
| 8. | Date of Decision | 27.10.2017 |

Brief facts of the case:-

In the RTI application, the appellant has stated that his 14 numbers 2nd appeal case files were transferred four times among Information Commissioners i.e. (1) initially these were allotted to Shri Yashovardhan Azad, Information Commissioner, (2) then to Shri Sudhir Bhargava, Information Commission (3) then to Shri Bimal Jhulka, Information Commissioner and now to Ms. Manjula Prasher, Information Commissioner. In this connection, he has sought following information:-

- (i) *Record of note file side correspondence*
 - (ii) *Records of correspondence file*
 - (iii) *With whose approval change of Information Commissioners has been effected.*
 - (iv) *An extract of Rule position in R.T.I. Act, 2005 on the particular subject of changing the Information Commissioner frequently on the subject being the same.*
 - (v) *Changing of ICs towards same Nos. of 14 case files at FOUR Times has got a great concern and also attributed to a very long "Delay", in this connection, I would like to know, as an appellant, whether the responsibility has been fixed on the concerned officials who delayed if so, what is the consequential action taken by the Hon'ble Commissioner.*
- and
- (vi) *also copies of any other related subject matter.*


P.T.O.

2. The CPIO, RTI Cell has transferred the RTI application to CPIO(MR) who vide his reply dated 18.09.2017 has intimated that information is not available with JS(MR) Section.

Decision with reasons:-

3. On perusal of the RTI application, reply of the CPIO and appeal, it is observed that information as sought in the RTI application has not been provided to the appellant. Direction in this regard is given to Shri Ashok Kumar Sharma, CPIO, RTI Cell to seek assistance under section 5(4) from the concerned registry from where/to the said 14 nos case files transferred/allotted and provide information so received to the appellant within 4 weeks from the date of receipt of the order.
4. *The four weeks period is being given to the CPIO for compliance because the Commission is under process of shifting to its new location at Baba Gang Nath Marg, Munika.*
5. The appeal is, therefore, disposed off accordingly.
6. In case the appellant is aggrieved by the decision, he is free to file second appeal, if he so desires, before the Central Information Commission, Baba Gang Nath Marg, Munirka, New Delhi-110067 against this order within 90 days.

Dated the 27th October, 2017.



(Rakesh Kumar Singh)
Additional Secretary & First Appellate Authority
Tel: 26162290

Copy to:-

1. Shri Ashok Kumar Sharma, CPIO, RTI Cell, CIC, New Delhi.