

Central Information Commission  
Baba Gang Nath Marg,  
Munirka, New Delhi-110067.

CIC/AA/A/2018/26  
CICOM/A/2018/00026  
CICOM/R/2017/01455

Name of the appellant : Shri Yadu Nandan,  
C/o Nisha Gautam,  
The St. Patrick's Church (Catholic),  
Annexee/Compund, Kasauli,  
Dist. Solan (HP) – 173 204.

1.	Date of RTI application	06.12.2017
2.	Date of reply of the RTI application	15.12.2017 & 22.12.2017
3.	CPIO(s) who furnished reply	CPIO, Dak Section, DO to ex.IC(MP) & DR to CR-1
4.	1 <sup>st</sup> Appeal Date	18.01.2018
5.	Diary No. of 1 <sup>st</sup> Appeal of the Dak Section	104468
6.	Diary date of the Dak Section	19.01.2018
7.	Diary date of 1 <sup>st</sup> Appeal in the office of FAA	23.01.2018
8.	Date of Decision	24.01.2018

**Brief facts of the case:-**

In the RTI application, the appellant has sought order/ATR copy on 16 number diary numbers. CPIO, Dak Section vide letter dated 15.12.2017 has sent the status on all diary numbers by enclosing a list except diary number 162240 dated 05.08.2016 mentioned at Sl.No.12 as no information is available on this diary number. CPIO has also endorsed a copy of the same to DR to ex.IC(MP), DR to IC(SA) and DS to CR-1 for providing further information.

2. In reference to CPIO, Dak Section above mentioned letter, Shri Krishan Avtar Talwar, DS & CPIO, CR-1 vide letter dated 22.12.2017 has furnished information on 4 diary numbers mentioned at S.No.1,3,4 & 11 of the RTI application and endorsed a copy to Shri R.P. Grover, DO to IC(YA), Shri S.S. Rohilla, DO to IC(SB) and Shri H.P. Sen, DO to IC(DP).

3. Shri R.L. Gupta, CPIO cum DO to ex.IC(MP) vide letter dated 22.12.2017 has informed the appellant that diary No.163048 mentioned at S.No.2 of the RTI application relates to CPIO cum DO to (SB) and sent the same to him for providing information.

4. In the appeal, the appellant has stated that:-

"1. The CPIO, in his response dtd. 15/12/2017, Para No.(01) to (16) except para No.(12) is an strange/uncomprehensible/unknown language to the applicant. Providing in this manner, the informations, to the application – lay person, is a denial of informations.

2. CPIO has neither provided the proper information on Point No.(2) nor on Point No.(12) by denying informations."


**Decision with reasons:-**

4. On perusal of the RTI application, reply of the CPIOs and appeal, it is observed that no information has been provided as sought in the RTI application. Since, there is involvement of number of CPIOs of the Commission, direction is accordingly given to **Shri Ashok Kumar Sharma, CPIO, RTI Cell** to go through the RTI application and reply of the CPIOs so received till date and seek assistance from the concerned CPIOs for providing information and on receipt of information from all concerned provide the same to the appellant within 3 weeks from the date of receipt of the information.

5. The appeal is, therefore, disposed off.


6. In case the appellant is aggrieved by the decision, he is free to file second appeal, if he so desires, before the Central Information Commission, Baba Gang Nath Marg, Munirka, New Delhi-110067 against this order within 90 days.

Dated the 24<sup>th</sup> January, 2018.

  
( Rakesh Kumar Singh )  
Additional Secretary & First Appellate Authority  
Tel: 26162290

Copy to:-

Shri Ashok Kumar Sharma, CPIO, RTI Cell, CIC, New Delhi.

  
1  
Ash  
24/01/18

