Central Information Commission
Baba Gang Nath Marg.
Munirka, New Delhi-110067.

CIC/AA/A/2018/52
CICOM/A/2018/00051
CICOM/R/2018/00013

Name of the appellant : Shri Omprakash Kashiram,
3/16 Amol Apartment,
Waldhuni,
Kalyan – 421 301.

1	Date of RTI application	11.12.2017
2.	Date of reply of the RTI application	18.01.2018
3	CPIO(s) who furnished reply	DO to IC(SA)
4	1st Appeal Date	22.01.2018 (Received through DoPT vide ltr No.2/2/2018-RTIC/1292948 dt. 31.01.2018
5.	Diary No. of 1st Appeal of the Dak Section	107861
6.	Diary date of the Dak Section	05.02.2018
7.	Diary date of 1st Appeal in the office of FAA	06.02.2018
8.	**Date of Decision**	**23.02.2018**

Brief facts of the case:-

The appellant has filed RTI application in DoPT who transferred the RTI application u/s 6(3) to CIC in which the appellant has sought following information:-

"1. Please provide the documents duly attested by PIO regarding for not signed the order No.CIC/MOEAF/A/2017/115931/MOYAS dated 18.10.2017 (copy enclosed) by Professor M Sridhar Acharyulu (Madabhjushi Sridhar) with blue pen or blue ink pen and same are not issued to me.

2. Please provide the documents duly attested by PIO with name and designation regarding names of CPIO was not mentioned in places of respondents by Professor M Sridhar Acharyulu (Madabhjushi Sridhar) in Order No.CIC/MOEAF/A/2017/115931/ MOYAS dated 18.10.2017 (copy enclosed) and Professor M Sridhar Acharyulu (Madabhjushi Sridhar) has been appointed by Ministry of Personnel, Public Grievances and pension. They have mentioned in order "Omprakash Kashiram v PIO. M/o Youth Affairs and Sports" and Public Authority: Shri N.A. Sreejit, Under Secretary which is not correct.

3. Please provide the documents duly attested by PIO with name and designation regarding order not issued with blue ink pen signature by commissioner if the matters is written with Para 3 of order No.CIC/MOEAF/A/2017/115931/MOYAS dated 18.10.2017 as stated by the Commissioner. One side the commissioner is saying that the matter is fantastic/fantasy, instant, frivolous and suggesting for imposing fee for first and second appeals and other side the commissioner has not signed the order with blue ink pen and same is not issued to me.

4. Please provide the documents regarding the RTI application, first appeal, 2nd appeals and order No.CIC/MOEAF/A/2017/115931/MOYAS dated 18.10.2017 has been uploaded in CIC, New Delhi Wave site.

5. Please provide the documents regarding the order No.CIC/MOEAF/A/2017/115931/MOYAS dated 18.10.2017 is authentic under the RTI Act 2005 and for claim of Rs.25000/-."

P.T.O

2. Shri Dinesh Kumar, ex. DO to IS(SA) cum CPIO vide letter dated 18.01.2018 has responded as under:-

> *"1. A copy of the decision dated 18-10-2017 given by the Commission duly authenticated by the PIO, registry IC-SA is enclosed. Further it is stated that the decision in this case has been sent as per the practice.*
>
> *2. The information sought is not clear. However the names of the appellant and Respondents of the case are mentioned as per practice.*
>
> *3. The information sought is not clear. However the authenticated decision is enclosed as in **point-1.***
>
> *4. The decision dated 18-10-2017 of the Commission has already been uploaded in the Commission's website. Further others documents referred to in the RTI are not uploaded along with the decision. The appellant is advised to specify, if he wants these documents.*
>
> *5. The information sought is not clear, However the authenticated decision is enclosed as in **point-1**."*

3. The appellant has filed his first appeal in DoPT who transferred the same to CIC. On perusal of the appeal, it appears that the appellant is aggrieved with non-receipt of information from the CPIO, DoPT. Since the DoPT has transferred the RTI application and first appeal to CIC, the appeal has been admitted.

Decision with reasons:-

4. In the appeal, appellant has stated that CPIO has not provided information and penalty may be imposed on him/her for non-supply of information. However, on perusal of the case file, it is observed that Shri Dinesh Kumar, ex. DO to IC(SA) & CPIO has already furnished point-wise information to the appellant as per Para-2 above **(copy enclosed)**. The point-wise information furnished by the CPIO is seems to be appropriate, therefore, no intervention is required on the part of the FAA, in the matter.

5. The appeal is, therefore, disposed off.

6. In case the appellant is aggrieved by the decision, he is free to file second appeal, if he so desires, before the Central Information Commission, Baba Gang Nath Marg, Munirka, New Delhi-110067 against this order within 90 days.

Dated the 23rd February, 2018.

C. I. C./के० सू० आ०
RECEIVED
26 FEB 2018
D. No.....
Initials.....

(Rakesh Kumar Singh)
Additional Secretary & First Appellate Authority
Tel: 26162290

Encl. A/a

Copy to:-

1. Shri Ashok Kumar Sharma, CPIO, RTI Cell, CIC, New Delhi.
2. Shri Rajeshwar Lal, Under Secretary to the Govt. of India, DOPT, North Block, New Delhi, with reference to Letter No 2/2/2018 – RTIC/1292948.
3. Ms. Varsha Sinha, Dir (IR), DOPT, North Block, New Delhi.

Central Information Commission
Room no. – 313, 3rd Floor,
Baba Gang Nath Marg, Munirka
New Delhi – 110 067
Website: cic.gov.in

File no.: CIC-CPIO-SA-2018-009

Dated : 18-01-2018

Sh. Omprakash Kashiram
Amol Apartment, 3/16,
Waldhuni,
Kalyan, Pin:421301

Sub. : Information under RTI Act, 2005.

Sir,

I am to refer to your RTI application dated 11-12-2017 recieved in the registry of IC-SA from RTI Cell on 02-01-2018. The information sought in respect of appendix "A" attached with RTI Application is as under:

1. A copy of the decsion dated 18-10-2017 given by the Commission duly authenticated by the PIO, registry IC-SA is enclosed. Further it is stated that the decision in this case has been sent as per the practice.
2. The inforamtion sought is not clear. However the names of the appellant and Respondents of the case are mentioned as per practice.
3. The information sought is not clear. However the authenticated decision is enclosed as in **point-1.**
4. The decision dated 18-10-2017 of the Commission has alreadey been uploaded in the Commission's website. Further others documents referred to in the RTI are not uploaded alongiwth the decision. The appellant is advised to specify, if he wants these documents.
5. The information sought is not clear. However the authenticated decision is enclosed as in **point-1.**

In case you are not satisfied with the information provided, you may prefer an appeal to the First Appellate Authority as per RTI Act, within 30 days reciept of this information/decision. Details of First Appellate Authority as given below:-

Sh. Rakesh Kumar Singh, Addl. Secy cum FAA
Room no. 502, 5th Floor, ,
Baba Gang Nath Marg, Munirka
New Delhi – 110 067

Ph. : 011 – 2467 1098, 2617 5295 (Fax)
E-mail : as-cic@nic.in

(Dinesh Kumar)
Dy. Registrar

Copy to CPIO, CIC for OM/R/2018/60013 dated 02-01-2018.