

Central Information Commission  
Baba Gang Nath Marg,  
Munirka, New Delhi-110067.

CIC/AA/A/2018/152  
CICOM/A/2018/00143  
CICOM/R/2018/00274

Name of the appellant : Shri Roor Mal Aggarwal,  
S/o Shri Khairati Lal,  
C/o Laxmi Crockery,  
Dhaki Chowk Ashoka Market,  
Near Railway Crossing,  
Pathankot – 145 001.

1.	Date of RTI application	24.02.2018
2.	Date of reply of the RTI application	27.03.2018
3.	CPIO(s) who furnished reply	DO to IC(DP)
4.	1 <sup>st</sup> Appeal Date	08.06.2018
5.	Diary No. of 1 <sup>st</sup> Appeal of the Dak Section	137099
6.	Diary date of the Dak Section	12.06.2018
7.	Diary date of 1 <sup>st</sup> Appeal in the office of FAA	13.06.2018
8.	Date of Decision	18.06.2018

**Brief facts of the case:-**

In the RTI application, appellant has sought following information in respect of Commission order in case File No.CIC/RK/A/2016/000994/SD:-

*"That originally an RTI application was filed on dated 4/4/2015 on which no reply was filed of the Query No.2 and an appeal was filed against non supply of information but no reply has still been filed by concerned. That yours good self has again order to file the concerned vide dated 21-12-2017 to reply the same but in spite of that no reply has yet been filed. **Its therefore may kindly be clarified that why the concerned violator has not been fined under the provision of RTI or what suitable action has been taken yours kind hearted authority under section 20(1) RTI Act ??** (Copies of all the application mentioned are attached herewith)"*

2. Shri H.P. Sen, CPIO & DO to IC(DP) vide letter dated 27.03.2018 has replied as under:-

*"This has reference to your above RTI application dated 20.03.2018. No information could be supplied to you as you have not sought any information under Section 2(f) of RTI Act 2005. Nothing is available in the file with regard to imposition of fine etc."*

P.T.O.

[ 2 ]

3. In the appeal, the appellant has stated as under:-

*"That abovementioned reply has been sent to me on dated 27-03-2018 (Copy enclosed) in which the information was not satisfactory. That I am resubmitting my information as under:-*

*That the replied answer is not satisfactory as the clause is covered under section 2(f) of RTI Act and hence the necessary direction may kindly be given to the concerned authority please.*

*2) That information on the letter may please be supplied?"*

**Decision with reasons:-**

4. On perusal of the RTI application, reply of the CPIO and appeal, it is observed that reply furnished by the CPIO is appropriate and factual. It seems that respondent CPIO has not complied with the Commission order, therefore, appellant is advised to file a non-compliance case against the respondent CPIO in the Commission, separately.

5. The appeal is, therefore, disposed off accordingly.

6. In case the appellant is aggrieved by the decision, he is free to file second appeal, if he so desires, before the Central Information Commission, Baba Gang Nath Marg, Munirka, New Delhi-110067 against this order within 90 days.

Dated the 18<sup>th</sup> June, 2018.

( Rakesh Kumar Singh )  
Additional Secretary & First Appellate Authority  
Tel: 26162290

Copy to:-

1. Shri Ashok Kumar Sharma, CPIO, RTI Cell, CIC, New Delhi.

