Central Information Commission
Baba Gang Nath Marg,
Munirka, New Delhi-110067.

CIC/AA/A/2018/216
CICOM/A/2018/00187
CICOM/R/2018/00560

Name of the appellant : Shri Ravindra Kumar Singh,
K-16, Opp. Judicial Lockup,
Tis Hazari Court,
Delhi – 110 054.

1.	Date of online RTI application	15.05.2018
2.	Date of reply of the RTI application	21.06.2018
3.	CPIO(s) who furnished reply	DO to IC(YA)
4.	1st Appeal Date	21.07.2018
5.	Diary No. of 1st Appeal of the Dak Section	917
6.	Diary date of the Dak Section	31.07.2018
7.	Diary date of 1st Appeal in the office of FAA	01.08.2018
8.	**Date of Decision**	**09.08.2018**

Brief facts of the case:-

In the RTI application, appellant has sought 10 points information in respect of case file No.CIC/ODCNW/A/2017/113144, CIC/DEPOL/A/2017/194823, CIC/ODCNW/A/2017/125057, 125058, 125059, 125060 and CIC/DEPOL/A/2017/179542, 179543

2. Shri R.P. Grover, CPIO & DO to IC(YA) vide his letter dated 21.06.2018 has furnished following information:-

"1. Decision was passed by the Hon'ble Commission on 7.3.2018 as stated in the order itself. No copy of the opinion, advice, blue print & white papers are available on record.

2. No such record is available; however decision was uploaded on 22.3.2018.

3. 45 appeals/complaint was disposed. Details of 2nd appeal/case, title & other details are available on the web site of the commission under the head cause list

4. Decision was uploaded on 22.03.2018 by the staff in the Commission's office.

5. Advance notice was issued to appellant to present in hearing. However, no opinion or advice can be provided by the CPIO.

P.T.O.

6. No opinion or advice can be provide by the CPIO.

7. On 7.03.2018, court commenced operation at 11.00 AM and close at 1.00 PM.

8. No information is available on record.

9. Any opinion and advice are not covered under the definition of the information as of RTI act.

10. A to h are repetitive questions, already answer by the above replies."

3. On the grounds of appeal, appellant has stated that CPIO has provided incorrect, incomplete and misleading reply and also obstructed in furnishing information but he has not mentioned as to why information provided is incorrect, incomplete and misleading.

Decision with reasons:-

3. On perusal of the RTI application, reply of the CPIO and appeal, it is observed that point-wise information furnished by the CPIO is appropriate and factual on the basis of records available with him, therefore, no intervention is required on the part of the FAA, in the matter.

4. The appeal is, therefore, disposed off accordingly.

5. In case the appellant is aggrieved by the decision, he is free to file second appeal, if he so desires, before the Central Information Commission, Baba Gang Nath Marg, Munirka, New Delhi-110067 against this order within 90 days.

Dated the 9th August, 2018.

(Rakesh Kumar Singh)
Additional Secretary & First Appellate Authority
Tel: 26162290

C. I. C./के० सू० आ०
RECEIVED
10 AUG 2018
D. No.....
Initials.....

Copy to:-

1. Shri Ashok Kumar Sharma, CPIO, RTI Cell, CIC, New Delhi.

10/08/18