## **Central Information Commission** Baba Gang Nath Marg, Munirka, New Delhi-110067.

CIC/AA/A/2018/222 CICOM/A/2018/00193 CICOM/R/2018/00688

Name of the appellant:

Shri V. Suresh, TPG & Son.

10 Arni Road.

Vellore - 632 001.

1	Date of RTI application	27.06.2018
2.	Date of reply of the RTI application	26.07.2018
3.	CPIO(s) who furnished reply	DO to IC(YA)
4.	1 <sup>st</sup> Appeal Date	31.07.2018
5.	Diary No. of 1 <sup>st</sup> Appeal of the Dak Section	1108
6.	Diary date of the Dak Section	07.08.2018
7.	Diary date of 1 <sup>st</sup> Appeal in the office of	08.08.2018
	FAA	
8.	Date of Decision	10.08.2018

## Brief facts of the case:-

In the RTI application, appellant has sought following information in respect of case file No.CIC/MEDCI/A/2017/122398:-

- "1. REQUEST FOR COPY OF INFORMATION SUBMITTED BY MCI TO HON'BLE COMMISSIONER.
- 2. NECESSARY ACTION UNDER RTI RULES FOR HAVING DRAGGED THIS APPLICANT UNNECESSARY BY

MCI - T/N COUNCIL MCI - KARNATAKA COUNCIL KARNATAKA COUNCIL - MCI"

- Shri R.P. Grover, CPIO & DO to IC(YA) vide letter dated 26.07.2018 replied as 2. under:-
  - "1. As per the appeal file no CIC/MEDCI/A/2017/112398 no written submission from the MCI is available in file.
  - 2. Not covered under RTI act 2005."
- In the appeal, appellant has stated as under:-3.

"......Hence refusal of information by CIC and wrong information by MCI is clear. I sincerely pray for remedies under RTI Act 2005 by way of deriving and furnishing me the correct information's and also initiating action against PIO of MCI for dragging/ furnishing/refusal to various branches of MCI/Wrong information/to provide correct information under rules of RTI Act 2005."

RECEIVED

P.T.O.

## Decision with reasons:-

- 3. The allegation of the appellant that CPIO has refused to provide the information is not correct. The CPIO has furnished the factual information on the basis of record available with him. As regards wrong information provided by MCI and initiating of action against the PIO of MCI, it is to mention that FAA of the Commission has no jurisdiction over the CPIO(s) of the other public authority.
- 4. In view of the above, no intervention is required on the part of the FAA, in the matter.
- The appeal is, therefore, disposed off accordingly.
- 6. In case the appellant is aggrieved by the decision, he is free to file second appeal, if he so desires, before the Central Information Commission, Baba Gang Nath Marg, Munirka, New Delhi-110067 against this order within 90 days.

Dated the 10<sup>th</sup> August, 2018.

(Rakesh Kumar Singh)

Additional Secretary & First Appellate Authority
Tel: 26162290

Copy to:-

Shri Ashok Kumar Sharma, CPIO, RTI Cell, CIC, New Delhi