

Central Information Commission  
Baba Gang Nath Marg,  
Munirka, New Delhi-110067.

CIC/AA/A/2018/230  
CICOM/A/2018/00200  
CICOM/R/2018/00734

Name of the appellant : Shri Jayraj Palagiri,  
Marymatha Nilayam,  
D.No.27-2-12/3,  
Redla Bazaar, B.C. Colony,  
Tenali, Guntur Distt.,  
A.P. – 522 201.

1.	Date of RTI application	12.07.2018
2.	Date of reply of the RTI application	30.07.2018
3.	CPIO(s) who furnished reply	DO to IC(SA)
4.	1 <sup>st</sup> Appeal Date	09.08.2018
5.	Diary No. of 1 <sup>st</sup> Appeal of the Dak Section	1667
6.	Diary date of the Dak Section	17.08.2018
7.	Diary date of 1 <sup>st</sup> Appeal in the office of FAA	24.08.2018
8.	<b>Date of Decision</b>	<b>29.08.2018</b>

**Brief facts of the case:-**

In the RTI application, appellant has sought following information in respect of case file No.CIC/POSTS/A/2017/193417 and Diary No.126622 dated 26.05.2018:-

- I. Case No.2017/193417 when it was reached to your office/Commission?
- II. When it was closed Case No.2017/193417 in your office/Commission?
- III. How many days Case No.2017/193417 run in your office/Commission?
- IV. Accordingly to your Rule how many days case will be closed i.e., what is the duration to close the Case?
- V. In this Case what kind of action you are taken or which punishment was given to applicant?
- VI. For purpose which the applicant Complaining to Information Commission against Defendants?
- VII. In the case of 2017/193417 the Commission what kind of result had given to applicant?

P.T.O.

VIII. *In the case 2017/193417 the Commissioner what relief was given to the judgment.*

IX. *Why Commission is not following rules of R.T.I. – 2005?"*

2. Shri T.K. Mohapatra, CPIO & DO to IC(SA) vide letter dated 30.07.2018 has furnished following information:-

*I. It was reached on 23-12-2016.*

*II. The case was disposed vide final decision dated 21-05-2018.*

*III. No such information available in the records.*

*IV. There is no such Rule available in the Commission.*

*V. In this case no other information is available other than the order. You may refer the Commission order.*

*VI. No such information available in the records.*

*VII. No such information available in the records.*

*VIII. In this case no other information is available other than the order. You may refer the Commission order.*

*IX. On this point there is no such query and also no information available on records.*


**Decision with reasons:-**

3. In the appeal, appellant has stated that CPIO has not sent sufficient information and requested to send correct information. But he has not mentioned as to why and on which point of CPIO's reply, he is aggrieved with. However, on perusal of the RTI application and CPIO's reply, it is observed that point-wise information furnished by the CPIO is seems to be appropriate, therefore, no further interference is required, in the matter.

4. The appeal is, therefore, disposed off accordingly.

5. In case the appellant is aggrieved by the decision, he is free to file second appeal, if he so desires, before the Central Information Commission, Baba Gang Nath Marg, Munirka, New Delhi-110067 against this order within 90 days.

Dated the 29<sup>th</sup> August, 2018.



( Rakesh Kumar Singh )  
Additional Secretary & First Appellate Authority  
Tel: 26162290

Copy to:-

1. Shri Ashok Kumar Sharma, CPIO, RTI Cell, CIC, New Delhi.

✓  
Ash  
29/08/18

Au

