

Central Information Commission  
Baba Gang Nath Marg,  
Munirka, New Delhi -110067.

CIC/AA/A/2018/288  
CICOM/A/2018/00254  
CICOM/R/2018/00898

Name of the appellant : Shri Chandranshu Mehta,  
A-261, Sector-9,  
New Vijay Nagar,  
Ghaziabad(UP) – 201 009.

1.	Date of RTI application	07.09.2018
2.	Date of reply of the RTI application	08.10.18, 09.10.18 & 10.10.18
3.	CPIO(s) who furnished reply	CPIO(Legal Cell), CPIO(RTI Cell) & DR to C-II
4.	1 <sup>st</sup> Appeal Date	08.11.2018
5.	Diary No. of 1 <sup>st</sup> Appeal of the Dak Section	5288
6.	Diary date of the Dak Section	14.11.2018
7.	Diary date of 1 <sup>st</sup> Appeal in the office of FAA	15.11.2018
8.	Date of Hearing	26.11.2018

Appellant was present. Shri Krishan Avtar Talwar, CPIO & DR to CR-I, Shri K.K. Pukhral, CPIO (Legal Cell), Shri TBSJ Rajappa, CPIO (RTI Cell) and Shri S.C. Sharma, DR to CR-II were present during the hearing.

**Decision with reasons:-**

2. During the hearing, appellant has stated that he is aggrieved from the reply of CPIO(s) on Points 2,3,4,7 and 9 of the RTI application.
3. Points 2, 3 & 7 of the RTI application are interlinked. The appellant during the hearing has stated that everywhere there is a remedy available in case of any technical error, error of law on the face of record, procedural infirmities or omission to consider material facts relevant in the decision/order by Hon'ble CIC. I just wanted that what is the remedy available in the case of CIC, in this regard and if there is any provision then who is the designated officer to be approached. These points are appears to be closely related with the registry matter. **Direction is accordingly given to Shri Krishan Avtar Talwar, CPIO & DR to CR-I to provide information by taking assistance, if required, from the concerned officer/section to the appellant within 2 weeks from the date of receipt of the order.**

P.T.O.


[ 2 ]

4. On perusal of the Points 4 & 9 of the RTI application, CPIOs reply and submissions made during hearing, it is observed that information provided by the CPIOs is appropriate, therefore, no intervention is required, on the part of the FAA, on these points.


5. The appeal is, therefore, disposed of accordingly.



6. In case the appellant is aggrieved by the decision, he is free to file second appeal, if he so desires, before the Central Information Commission, Baba Gang Nath Marg, Munirka, New Delhi-110067 against this order within 90 days.

Dated the 28<sup>th</sup> November, 2018.

  
( Rakesh Kumar Singh )  
Additional Secretary & First Appellate Authority  
Tel: 26162290

Copy to:-

 Shri TBSJ Rajappa, CPIO, RTI Cell, CIC, New Delhi.  
Shri Krishan Avtar Talwar, CPIO & DR to CR-I, CIC, New Delhi.

C. I. C. / के. सू. आ.
<b>RECEIVED</b>
29 NOV 2018
D. No. ....
Initials. ....

