

Central Information Commission  
Baba Gang Nath Marg,  
Munirka, New Delhi-110067.

CIC/AA/A/2018/60  
CICOM/A/2018/60029  
CICOM/R/2018/50048

Name of the appellant : Shri A. Anand,  
C/o Chandan Kumar,  
Room-11, Periyar,  
Jawaharlal Nehru University,  
New Delhi – 110 067.


|    |                                      |              |
|----|--------------------------------------|--------------|
| 1. | Date of online RTI application       | 22.01.2018   |
| 2. | Date of reply of the RTI application | 24.01.2018   |
| 3. | CPIO(s) who furnished reply          | DO to IC(SA) |
| 4. | Online 1 <sup>st</sup> Appeal Date   | 28.02.2018   |
| 5. | Date of Hearing                      | 16.03.2018   |

In the appeal, the appellant has requested to conduct first appeal through audio conferencing. Accordingly, the appellant was given an opportunity to represent his case through audio conferencing on 16.03.2018 at 1115 hrs. The appellant was present through audio-conferencing. Shri T.K. Mohapatra, CPIO cum DO to IC(SA) and Shri Ashok Kr. Sharma, CPIO, RTI Cell were present during the hearing. In the RTI application, the appellant has sought 8 points information in respect of case file No.CIC/NYUKS/C/2017/168346.

**Decision with reasons:-**

2. During the hearing, the appellant has stated that in the Commission's decision No.CIC/NYUKS/C/2017/168346 including attendance, two persons are shown present from the respondent side though they were not present on the date of hearing and hence it shows that their name were written by the Commission itself. In this regard, Shri T.K. Mohapatra, CPIO has stated that he has only provided the attendance sheet as available on record and cannot comment regarding mentioning of the names of the persons present in the hearing as mentioned in the Commission's order and pointed out by the appellant in his RTI application. Whatever mentioned in the order is the part of the judgement and CPIO as well as FAA cannot comment on the decisions of the Commission.

3. No other points has been raised by the appellant, during the hearing, the appeal is, therefore, disposed off.



P.T.O.

4. In case the appellant is aggrieved by the decision, he is free to file second appeal, if he so desires, before the Central Information Commission, Baba Gang Nath Marg, Munirka, New Delhi-110067 against this order within 90 days.

Dated the 19<sup>th</sup> March, 2018.

( Rakesh Kumar Singh )  
Additional Secretary & First Appellate Authority  
Tel: 26162290

Copy to:-

1. The CPIO, RTI Cell, CIC, New Delhi.

*q/c*  
*Ash*  
*20/03/18*