

**CENTRAL INFORMATION COMMISSION**

Baba Gang Nath Marg, Munirka,

New Delhi – 110066.

Website: cic.gov.in

File No. CIC/Legal/RTI/2018/001

Date: 08.08.2018

To,

Shri Rakesh Kumar Gupta  
Flat No. 1001, 10<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Block G-2-c golf link Residency Pocket-2  
DDA New Multi Storey Apartment  
Sector 18 B Dwarka New Delhi 110078

Sub: Seeking information under the RTI Act, 2005.

Sir,

Please refer to your RTI application dated 16.07.2018 received from CPIO & DO to IC (YA) vide letter dated 31.07.2018, on 02.08.2018 in Legal Cell.


2. The information on point No. 5 is furnished as under:-

**"In compliance of Hon'ble Delhi High Court order dated 19.03.2012, the then JS(Law) & Addl. Registrar vide note dated 02.05.2012, copy of said order was circulated to the DR to Information Commissioners, for taking action. Copy of the same is enclosed."**

If you are not satisfied with the reply of the undersigned, you may prefer an appeal to our First Appellate Authority, within 30 days from the date of receipt of this reply.

Address of the First Appellate Authority is:

Shri R.K. Singh, Additional Secretary  
CENTRAL INFORMATION COMMISSION  
Baba Gang Nath Marg, Munirka,  
New Delhi – 110066.  
Phone No 011-26181925

  
(Kishore Kumar Pukhral)  
CPIO Legal Cell

Copy to :-


1. CPIO RTI Cell, CIC, New Delhi. ✓ *Auth 08/08/18*
2. CPIO & Designated Officer IC-YA (for information with reference to his letter No. CICOM/R/2018/50510 dated 31.07.2018.

*In file by SA 8/8/18*

34/1/12 (12/12/12)  
**Subject: Delhi High Court order in W. P. (C) No. 1525/2012**

May please see the order dated 19.03.2012 of the High Court of Delhi passed in Writ Petition (C) No. 1525/2012 (Rakesh Kumar Gupta Vs Central Information Commission). By the said order the Court had directed the Commission to look into the grievance of the petitioner and to take up the hearing of the pending cases of the petitioner in accordance with their seniority. But after this order of the Court, it has been seen that the petitioner, Shri Rakesh Kumar Gupta is writing to the Commission that the Court had directed the Commission to hear his cases on priority.

2. On the said matter, the Chief Information Commissioner has directed that the cases of Sh. Rakesh Kumar Gupta should be heard strictly in their turn. The same may be noted for the needful.

  
(Aakash Deep Chakravarti)  
JS (Law) & Addl. Registrar  
02.05.2012

DR to CIC  
DR to IC (LS)  
DR to IC (DS)  
DR to IC (SS)  
DR to IC (AD)  
DR to IC (SG)  
DR to IC (VS)  
DR to IC (BS)  
DR to IC (RM)

Olc

W.P.(C) 1525/2012

RAKESH KUMAR GUPTA ..... Petitioner

Through: Petitioner in person.

versus

CENTRAL INFORMATION COMMISSION ..... Respondent

Through:

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIPIN SANGHI

ORDER

19.03.2012

The submission of the petitioner is that though his appeals are pending before the CIC for a long time, they have not been heard while others which were filed later have been heard and disposed of.

The respondent is directed to look into this grievance of the petitioner and to take up the hearing of the pending cases of the petitioner in accordance with their seniority.

The petition stands disposed of in the aforesaid terms.

A copy of this order be communicated to the respondent.

Later on Mr. Kushagra Mishra, who appears for the CIC in W.P.(C.) No. 1384/2012 has been apprised of the order passed in the present matter.

VIPIN SANGHI, J

MARCH 19, 2012

?BSR?