

Central Information Commission
Baba Gang Nath Marg,
Munirka, New Delhi -110067.

CIC/AA/A/2019/16
CICOM/A/2019/00013
CICOM/R/2018/01213

Name of the appellant : Shri Chandranshu Mehta
A-261, Sector-9,
New Vijay Nagar,
Ghaziabad (UP) – 201 009.

1.	Date of RTI application	13.12.2018
2.	Date of reply of the RTI application	01.01.2019
3.	CPIO(s) who furnished reply	DO to ex. IC(SA)
4.	1 st Appeal Date	14.01.2019
5.	Diary No. of 1 st Appeal of the Dak Section	7723
6.	Diary date of the Dak Section	17.01.2019
7.	Diary date of 1 st Appeal in the office of FAA	22.01.2019
8.	Date of Hearing	04.02.2019

Appellant was present. Shri T.K. Mohapatra, Director cum CPIO and Shri T.BJS Rajappa, CPIO, RTI Cell were present.

Decision with reasons:-

2. On Point 1 of the RTI application, appellant stated during the hearing that he sought certified copy of record of proceeding of CIC's order No.CIC/SANAK/A/2017/178214 dated 20.06.2018 but provided copy was not certified. Hence, CPIO violated the guidelines of DoPT OM No.10/1/2013-IR dated 06.10.2015.

3. On Point 2 of the RTI application, appellant stated during the hearing that CPIO provided misleading information that *"No records of e-mails are kept in the registry. Therefore, it is not possible to provide the information"* though he had provided details of the email along with subject matter.

4. On Point 3, appellant stated that he had provided copy of Commission's circular dated 22.07.2016 and High Court of Delhi order dated 10.01.2018 in LPA No.91/2018 then how CPIO replied that no information is available.

5. Similarly on Points 4, 5 & 6. CPIO has not provided any information.

P.T.O.

[2]

3. Since the public authority in this case has now been allocated to the registry of IC(VN), direction is accordingly given to Shri Ashok Kumar Assija, CPIO & DO to IC(VN) to provide certified copy of the document as per DoPT OM No.10/1/2013-IR dated 06.10.2015 as sought on Point 1 of the RTI application and revisit the matter on Point 2 and provide copy of the email (if available) as referred on this point to the appellant within 2 weeks from the date of receipt of the order.

4. The reply furnished by the CPIO on Points 3 to 6 is appropriate. However, it is to mention that no officer is designated in the Commission to whom citizen can approach for early hearing. In case any citizen wants early/urgent hearing, citizen may send such request addressing the Central Information Commission.

5. The appeal is, therefore, disposed of.

6. In case the appellant is aggrieved by the decision, he is free to file second appeal, if he so desires, before the Central Information Commission, Baba Gang Nath Marg, Munirka, New Delhi-110067 against this order within 90 days.

Dated the 07th February 2019.

(Rakesh Kumar Singh)
Additional Secretary & First Appellate Authority
Tel: 26162290

Copy to:-

1. Shri TBJs Rajappa, CPIO, RTI Cell, CIC, New Delhi.
2. Shri Ashok Kumar Assija, CPIO & DO to IC(VN), CIC, New Delhi.
3. Shri T.K. Mohapatra, CPIO, CIC, New Delhi.

C. I. C. / के. सू. आ.
RECEIVED
07 FEB 2019
D. No.
Initials.