

Central Information Commission
Baba Gang Nath Marg,
Munirka, New Delhi -110067.

CIC/AA/A/2019/26
CICOM/A/2019/00019
CICOM/R/2018/01203

Name of the appellant : Dr. Sanjeev Goel,
Rashtriya Janta Congress,
12, Siri Fort Road,
New Delhi – 110 049.

1.	Date of RTI application	18.12.2018
2.	Date of reply of the RTI application	03.01.2019
3.	CPIO(s) who furnished reply	DO to IC(NJ)
4.	1 st Appeal Date	22.01.2019
5.	Diary No. of 1 st Appeal of the Dak Section	7913
6.	Diary date of the Dak Section	24.01.2019
7.	Diary date of 1 st Appeal in the office of FAA	28.01.2019
8.	Date of Decision	01.02.2019

Brief facts of the case:-

In the RTI application, appellant has sought following information :-


"1- On which basis/grounds my Early Hearing application/request of 2nd Appeal (no 146793 dt 25/7/2018 & no 147398 dt 30/7/2018 was rejected as informed by Dy registrar S.C. Sharma on dt 19/9/2018. through e-mail – rashtriya@tajcongress@gmail.com against Mr. Rahul Gandhi & Mrs Sonia Gandhi?

2. I submitted ground – "citizens of India must know the correct details of their leaders/Lawmakers/MPs well before Loksabha elections 2019 which are very nearby so citizens of India could make their opinion to cast votes to strengthen the DEMOCRACY." Is it not a sufficient legal valid ground for Early Hearing which is a legal provision in CIC?

3. I m not alleging Mr Rahul Gandhi, only asking "How many passports have been issued by any Government in the world to him or alias, if any, bearing his photograph, who is Member of Parliament/public authority/Indian citizen, then on which ground/basis CIC is not taking this issue Most Seriously & urgently to strengthen Democracy, while UPA govt itself make RTI Act 2005 and hence CIC??

4. Do CIC have any guidelines for Early Hearing request to reject, if any, please provide it"

2. Shri S.C. Sharma, CPIO & DO to IC(NG) vide reply dated 03.01.2019 furnished following information:-



P.T.O.

[2]

"1. Your request for early hearing was put up to the then Hon'ble Chief I.C. on 23.08.2018 and it was directed that the hearing will take place as per the 'routine hearing'. Copy of noting is enclosed.

2. No information is available.

3. No information is available.

4. No such guidelines are available. The request is put up to the concerned Commissioner for consideration."


Decision with reasons:-

3. On perusal of the RTI application, CPIO's reply and submissions made in the appeal, it is observed that CPIO has provided point-wise factual information as per record available with him, therefore, no further intervention is required on the part of the FAA, in the matter.

4. The appeal is, therefore, disposed of.

5. In case the appellant is aggrieved by the decision, he is free to file second appeal, if he so desires, before the Central Information Commission, Baba Gang Nath Marg, Munirka, New Delhi-110067 against this order within 90 days.

Dated the 01st February 2019.


(Rakesh Kumar Singh)
Additional Secretary & First Appellate Authority
Tel: 26162290

Copy to:-

1. Shri TBJs Rajappa, CPIO, RTI Cell, CIC, New Delhi.





