

Central Information Commission
Baba Gang Nath Marg,
Munirka, New Delhi -110067.

CIC/AA/A/2019/75
CICOM/A/2019/00061
CICOM/R/2019/00205

Name of the appellant : Shri Prakash I. Patel,
A-55, Akshardham Society,
Opp. T.P. Udhyan-7,
Harni Warasia Ring Road,
Vadodara – 390 022.

1.	Date of RTI application	15.02.2019
2.	Date of reply of the RTI application	28.02.2019
3.	CPIO(s) who furnished reply	Ex. DR to IC(SC)
4.	1 st Appeal Date	06.03.2019
5.	Diary No. of 1 st Appeal of the Dak Section	10033
6.	Diary date of the Dak Section	13.03.2019
7.	Diary date of 1 st Appeal in the office of FAA	14.03.2019
8.	Date of Decision	14.03.2019

Brief facts of the case:-

In the RTI application, appellant has sought certified copy of written submission dated 23.07.2018 submitted by him in connection with 2nd Appeal No.CIC/SBIND/A/2017/120059.

2. Shri T.K. Mohapatra, ex. CPIO & DR to IC(SC) vide letter dated 28.02.2019 responded that:-

"There is no provision for providing certified copy of the documents provided by the appellant to the appellant himself."

3. Aggrieved with the response, appellant filed present appeal.

Decision with reasons:-

4. In this regard, Para-24 of Hon'ble Madras High Court order in WP No.26781 of 2013 may be referred to, in which it was held that:-

"24. Insofar as query (iv) is concerned, we fail to understand as to how the second respondent is entitled to justify his claim for seeking the copies of his own complaints and appeals. It is needless to say that they are not the information

P.T.O.

[2]

available within the knowledge of the petitioner; on the other hand, admittedly, they are the documents of the second respondent himself, and therefore, if he does not have copies of the same, he has to blame himself and he cannot seek those details as a matter of right, thinking that the High Court will preserve his frivolous applications as treasures/valuable assets. Further, those documents cannot be brought under the definition "information" as defined under Section 2 (f) of the RTI Act. Therefore, we reject the contention of the second respondent in this aspect."

5. In the light of above, the CPIO rightly denied providing copy of written submission filed by the appellant himself, therefore, no intervention is required on the part of the FAA, in the matter.

6. The appeal is, therefore, disposed of.

7. In case the appellant is aggrieved by the decision, he is free to file second appeal, if he so desires, before the Central Information Commission, Baba Gang Nath Marg, Munirka, New Delhi-110067 against this order within 90 days.

Dated the 14th March, 2019.

(Rakesh Kumar Singh)
Additional Secretary & First Appellate Authority
Tel: 26162290

Copy to:-

Shri TBJs Rajappa, CPIO, RTI Cell, CIC, New Delhi.
The CPIO & DO to IC(SC), CIC, New Delhi.

14/03/19

C. I. C. / के. सू. आ.
RECEIVED
14 MAR 2019
D. No.
Initials.