

Central Information Commission
Baba Gang Nath Marg,
Munirka, New Delhi -110067.

CIC/AA/A/2019/92
CICOM/A/2019/00078
CICOM/R/2019/00253

Name of the appellant : Prof. Kamaljit Chhibber,
98-C, Pocket-1,
Mayur Vihar, Phase-1,
Delhi – 110 091.

1.	Date of RTI application	09.03.2019
2.	Date of reply of the RTI application	19.03.2019
3.	CPIO(s) who furnished reply	CPIO, RTI Cell
4.	1 st Appeal Date	24.03.2019
5.	Diary No. of 1 st Appeal of the Dak Section	10872
6.	Diary date of the Dak Section	02.04.2019
7.	Diary date of 1 st Appeal in the office of FAA	03.04.2019
8.	Date of Decision	08.04.2019


Brief facts of the case:-

In the RTI application, appellant has raised 48 questions regarding the authenticity of Commission's order, adjudication of Commissions' orders, credentials of Mrs Vanaja N Sarna, Information Commissioner etc.

Decision with reasons:-

2. The appellant is aggrieved with the response of the CPIO, RTI Cell dated 19.03.2019 in response to his RTI application informing that the CPIO cannot comment on the orders passed by the Commission, RTI application shall ordinarily not contain more than five hundred words, excluding annexures and advising appellant to go through the RTI Act, 2005 & RTI Rules, 2012.

3. On perusal of the RTI application, CPIO's reply and submissions made in the appeal, it is observed that the reply furnished by the CPIO is to the point. As per the decision in the case of Khanapuram Gandaiah Vs Administrative Officer and Others of the Hon'ble Supreme Court decided on 4th January 2010, the CPIO is not expected to reply/explain as to why such opinions, advices, circulars, orders, etc. have been passed. The CPIO has clearly mentioned that he cannot comment on the orders passed by the Commission and he is not expected to justify or give rationale for the order of the Commission.



P.T.O.


4. Further to it, appellant has not sought any information but raised queries and queries do not falls under the definition of 'information' under RTI Act.

5. In view of the above, no further intervention is required on the part of the FAA, in the matter.

6. The appeal is, therefore, disposed of.

7. In case the appellant is aggrieved by the decision, he is free to file second appeal, if he so desires, before the Central Information Commission, Baba Gang Nath Marg, Munirka, New Delhi-110067 against this order within 90 days.

Dated the 08th April, 2019.


(Rakesh Kumar Singh)
Additional Secretary & First Appellate Authority
Tel: 26162290

Copy to:-

1. ✓ Shri TBJs Rajappa, CPIO, RTI Cell, CIC, New Delhi.

Am
09/04/19
[Signature]