Central Information Commission Baba Gang Nath Marg, Munirka, New Delhi -110067.

CIC/AA/A/2019/120 CICOM/A/2019/00106 CICOM/R/2019/00408

Name of the appellant :

Shri R.L. Goyal, IF No.897 GF, Adjacent City Centre, Amravati Enclave Distt. Panchkula – 134 105.

1	Date of RTI application	11.05.2019
2.	Date of reply of the RTI application	22.05.2019
3.	CPIO(s) who furnished reply	DO to IC(SC)
4.	1 <sup>st</sup> Appeal Date	25.05.2019
5.	Diary No. of 1 <sup>st</sup> Appeal of the Dak Section	13071
6.	Diary date of the Dak Section	30.05.2019
7.	Diary date of 1 <sup>st</sup> Appeal in the office of FAA	31.05.2019
8.	Date of Decision	04.06.2019

## Brief facts of the case:-

In the RTI application, appellant has stated that he had filed an RTI application dated 25.07.2018 in UCO Bank, Zonal Office, Chandigarh. The said RTI application was responded by PIO, UCO Bank who stated that:-

"......your attention is invited to CIC letter no CIC/VS/A/2012/001022/SH dated 18.01.2016. We have nothing more to add than what CIC has already conveyed to you."

2. As per appellant, PIO, UCO Bank has refused to supply the documents under the pretext of above mentioned Commission's order, therefore, he has requested to provide following information:-

- "(1) Whether the Hon'ble CIC, New Delhi has given any instructions to the PIO, UCO Bank, Zonal Office, Chandigarh through their letter their letter no CIC/VS/A/2012/001022/SH dated 18.01.2016, "to not supply information/documents under RTI Act, 2005 to me"?
- (2) If the Hon'ble CIC, New Delhi has not given instructions "To not supply information/documents under RTI Act, 2005 to me", through their letter no CIC/VS/A/2012/001022/SH dated 18.01.2006 to the PIO, UCO Bank, Zonal Office, Chandigarh, in that eventuality, whether the act of the PIO. UCO Bank, Zonal Office, Chandigarh of denying the documents to me under RTI Act. 2005 can be justified?

P.T.O.

Whether the PIO, UCO Bank, Zonal Office, Chandigarh has rendered (3) himself liable for "Penal Action" under Section 20 of the RTI Act, 2005 by taking an imaginary decision to deny the documents to me under the pretext of CIC letter no CIC/VS/A/2012/001022/SH dated 18.01.2016, as the Hon'ble CIC, New Delhi being an "Apex Organization" to ensure implementation of the RTI Act, 2005 by the Public Authorities."

2. Shri R. Sitarama Murthy, CPIO & DO to IC(SC) vide letter dated 22.05.2019 has furnished following information:-

- **"1.2 & 3**" The letter dated 18-01-2016 of C.I.C. is self explanatory. The information sought/issues raised by you in your RTI Application are in the form of questions seeking clarification on C.I.C. letter dated 18-01-2016. They do not come under the definition of "information" as per section 2(f) of the RTI Act. 2005."
- 3. Aggrieved with the response of CPIO, appellant filed present appeal.

## Decision with reasons:-

On perusal of the RTI application, CPIO's reply and submission made in the 4. appeal, it is observed that the reply furnished by the CPIO is to the point and does not require any intervention from the Appellate Authority.

5. The appeal is, therefore, disposed of,

6. In case the appellant is aggrieved by the decision, he is free to file second appeal, if he so desires, before the Central Information Commission, Baba Gang Nath Marg, Munirka, New Delhi-110067 against this order within 90 days.

Dated the 4<sup>th</sup> June, 2019.

Initials.....

C. I. C. ⁄के॰ सू॰ आ॰

RECEIVED

04 JUN 2019 

(Rakesh Kumar Singh) Additional Secretary & First Appellate Authority Tel: 26162290

Shri TBJS Rajappa, CPIO, RTI Cell, CIC, New Delhi.

Shri R. Sitarama Murthy, CPIO & DO to IC(SC), CIC,