

CENTRAL INFORMATION COMMISSION Baba Gang Nath Marg, Munirka, New Delhi – 110 067

Sh. P. Balaswamy

....Appellant

VERSUS

Central Information Commission

....Respondent

Date of hearing: 03/09/2019

Time: 11:00 a.m.

Case No.:

1. CIC/AA/A/2019/173

2. CICOM/A/2019/00147

3. CICOM/R/2019/00519

Present:

1. None - For the Appellant

2. Sh. A.K. Assija, CPIO & DR to IC (VN) - For the Respondent

3. Sh. T.B.J.S. Rajappa, Nodal CPIO - For the Respondent

Brief facts:

The Appellant had sought the following information vide his RTI application dated 26/06/2019:

- 1. To furnish the information action status of his grievance in regard with the following references:
 - a. Grievance filed dated 25/03/2019 to the office of CIC.
 - b. CIC's office decision in case No. CIC/POSTS/A/2017/183573
 - c. Hon'ble CIC Order no. 164916/2018 dated 30/10/2018

Record of proceedings:

- 1. The RTI application dated 26/06/2019, filed by Appellant Sh. P. Balaswamy, reply of Sh. A.K. Assija, concerned CPIO dated 17/07/2019 as well as the First Appeal dated 31/07/2019 filed by Appellant were perused by the undersigned.
- 2. Sh. A.K. Assija, the concerned CPIO vide his reply dated 17/07/2019 had provided the information sought by the Appellant, but being dissatisfied with the information provided by the CPIO, the Appellant preferred to file a First Appeal dated 31/07/2019 before the undersigned.
- 3. I have perused the First Appeal filed by the Appellant in which the Appellant has only mentioned that he is not satisfied with the reply received from the CPIO. However, he has not provided any grounds of filing the First Appeal before the undersigned except citing some communication received by him from



CPIO/SPIO Narasaraopet informing him that the answer books of all departmental examinations as stipulated period for preservation is only 12 months i.e. 1 year, were weeded out. He has further cited a decision of Hon'ble High Court of Bombay in W.P. (C) No. 310 of 2014 and contended that there is an implied duty on the part of every Public Authority to maintain information for a minimum period of 20 years.

- Sh. A.K. Assija, concerned CPIO presented his case and reiterated to his earlier stand that the 4. information sought for has already been provided to the Appellant vide communication dated 17/07/2019.
- On perusal of the above mentioned records and the submission made by the CPIO during hearing 5. of the Appeal, I have come to the conclusion that the First Appeal filed by the Appellant has no merits at all as it does not relate to the information sought from the CPIO and talked of some other matter over which the Commission has no control/jurisdiction.
- 6. Therefore, the Appeal is hereby dismissed being devoid of merits.

Sh. P.Balaswamy is further informed if he is not satisfied with the order of the undersigned, he is free to file a Second Appeal under Section 19 (1) of the RTI Act, 2005 before the Hon'ble Information Commissioner Sh. Divya Prakash Sinha at the above mentioned address within a period of 90 days from the date of receipt of this order.

(Piyush Agarwal)

Registrar & First Appellate Authority

03/09/2019

To:

Sh. P. Balaswamy Retd. G.D.S.M.C/MD Behind Vasanthrao Hotel, Neoc Big Canal, Sattenapalli Guntur Distt. (A.P.) - 522403

Copy To:

Sh. A.K. Assija 1. CPIO & DR to IC (VN)

Central Information Commission

Sh. T.B.J.S Rajappa Nodal CPIO

Central Information Commission

