

Central Information Commission
Baba Gang Nath Marg,
Munirka, New Delhi -110067.

CIC/AA/A/2019/284
CICOM/A/2019/00185
CICOM/R/2019/00740

Name of the appellant : Shri Chandranshu Mehta
A-261, Sector-9,
New Vijay Nagar,
Ghaziabad-201009,
Uttar Pradesh

1.	Date of RTI application	20.09.2019
2.	Date of reply of the RTI application	14.10.2019 & 21.10.2019
3.	CPIO(s) who furnished reply	DO to IC(VN)&DO to CIC(SB)
4.	1 st Appeal Date	25.10.2019
5.	Diary No. of 1 st Appeal of the Dak Section	29.10.2019
6.	Diary date of 1 st Appeal in the office of FAA	20.11.2019
8.	Date of Decision	09.12.2019

Brief facts of the case:-

The RTI application dated 25th October, 2019, from Shri Chandranshu Mehta, Appellant on 'Request for Information' under sub-section(1) of Section(6) of the RTI Act, 2005 on 6-points. While point-wise information in regarding to Points No.1 to 6 have been furnished by Shri A.K.Assija (Points 1 to 3) and by Shri S.S.Rohilla (Points 4 to 6) and though Shri Mehta is satisfied with the replies but is aggrieved with regard to Point No.3 wherein Appellant had sought 'Reason' for 'Administrative Decision' for 'Not taking any action' as per the Commission's Order with F.No.CIC/4/2016-Rgr dated 26.09.2018 on '7 Diary Numbers & on others Non-Compliance Complaints of the M/o Culture' initiated by the 'Registry of IC(VN)'. Shri Assija had stated that "No such reason is available on record."

Decision with reasons:-

2. A personal hearing was sought by the Appellant. Accordingly the hearing was fixed on 9 December vide this office letter No.CICOM/A/2019/00185 dated 05.12.2019.
3. The Appellant did not turn up for the hearing.
4. On going through the query of the Appellant, it is seen that he is not satisfied with the reply of the respondent against point No.3. Against point No.3, CPIO had been asked to provide 'Reason for Administrative Decision' for 'Not taking any Action' on the Commissioner's Order No.CIC/4/2016-Rgr dated 26.09.2018. Shri A.K.Asija, DO to IC(VN) was present during the meeting and has informed that No reason is available on record. Therefore I am in agreement with the reply of the CPIO.

0/L

C. I. C. के सू. आ.
RECEIVED
11 DEC 2019
D. No.
Initials.

5. No further intervention is required on the part of the FAA, in the matter. The appeal is, therefore, disposed of.

6. In case the appellant is aggrieved by the decision, he is free to file second appeal, if he so desires, before the Central Information Commission, Baba Gang Nath Marg, Munirka, New Delhi-110067 against this order within 90 days.

Shanti Priye Beck
(Shanti Priye Beck) *ag. 12.*

Additional Secretary & First Appellate Authority
Tel: 26162290

Copy to:-

- Shri* *initials* *↓*
1. Shri TBJs Rajappa, CPIO, RTI Cell, CIC, New Delhi.
 2. Shri A.K.Assija, CPIO, DO to IC(VN), CIC, New Delhi.
 3. Shri S.S.Rohilla, CPIO & DO to CIC(SB), CIC, New Delhi.

Gris
11/12/19