

Central Information Commission  
Baba Gang Nath Marg,  
Munirka, New Delhi-110067.

CIC/AA/A/2019/54  
CICOM/A/2019/60027  
CICOM/R/2019/50039

Name of the appellant : Shri Varun Krishna,  
213, Gobind Appts,  
B-2, Vasundhara Enclave,  
Delhi – 110 096

1.	Date of online RTI application	23.01.2019
2.	Date of reply of the RTI application	11.02.2019
3.	CPIO(s) who furnished reply	DO to IC(NG)
4.	Online 1 <sup>st</sup> Appeal Date	18.02.2019
5.	Date of Hearing	26.02.2019

The hearing of the present appeal was scheduled for 01.03.2019 but on the request of the appellant over phone, hearing conducted on 26.02.2019. Appellant was present. Shri K.L. Das, CPIO & DO to IC(BJ) and Shri S.C. Sharma, CPIO & DO to IC(NG) were present.

**Decision with reasons:-**

2. During the hearing, appellant has stated that information on Points 1 & 2 regarding action taken on representation dated 31.12.2018 has not been furnished by the CPIO. Shri S.C. Sharma, CPIO & DO to IC(NG) informed that he already furnished information in his reply that representation dated 31.12.2018 was sent through email on 31.12.2018 by the appellant to the registry of IC(BJ) and the public authority "Department of Indian Security Press" of that case was thereafter transferred to the registry of IC(NG) vide Commission's order dated 03.01.2019. Hence, he provided information on the basis of record available in the concerned case file that no action seems to have been taken on email dated 31.12.2018.

3. On Point 3 & 4 of the RTI application, appellant has stated that the CPIO has provided misleading information. On these two points, he sought following information:-

*"3. Kindly highlight the paragraph from the subject decision which proves the issued show-cause notice has been dropped.*

*4. If the show-cause notice is dropped then provide the documentary proof proving that the PIO-ISP Nashik did not have any mala-fide intentions based upon which show cause notice u/s 20(1) was issued."*

P.T.O.

[ 2 ]

Shri K.L. Das, CPIO & DO to IC(BJ) stood by his reply given to the appellant. He further added that since the decision was for the disposal of the show-cause notice only, the conclusion given i.e. "The matter stands closed" is obviously related to that only. Interpreting it otherwise will not be relevant.


Further to it, CPIO as well as FAA of the Commission has no authority either to comment or interpret the order of the Commission.

4. Since factual and appropriate information has been provided by the CPIOs on all points, no further intervention is required on the part of FAA, in the matter.

5. The appeal is, therefore, disposed off accordingly.

6. In case the appellant is aggrieved by the decision, he is free to file second appeal, if he so desires, before the Central Information Commission, Baba Gang Nath Marg, Munirka, New Delhi-110 067 against this order within 90 days.

Dated the 27<sup>th</sup> February, 2019.

  
( Rakesh Kumar Singh )  
Additional Secretary & First Appellate Authority  
Tel: 26162290

Copy to:-

1. Shri TBJs Rajappa, CPIO, RTI Cell, CIC, New Delhi.

✓  
AM  
27/02/19

OK

