

Central Information Commission

Baba Gang Nath Marg,
Munirka, New Delhi -110067.

CIC/AA/A/2019/328

CICOM/A/2019/60239

CICOM/R/2019/50912

Name of the appellant: Shri Sanjeev Kapoor
Field Hostel Room No. 05,
Rashmipuram, Faridabad,
Gram – Mujadi,
Post – Nimaka Tigaon Raod,
Faridabad – 121004, Haryana

| | | |
|----|--------------------------------------|---------------------|
| 1. | Date of RTI application | 18.11.2019 (Online) |
| 2. | Date of reply of the RTI application | 25.11.2019 |
| 3. | CPIO(s) who furnished reply | Sh. S.S.Rohilla |
| 4. | 1 st Appeal Date | 23.12.2019 (online) |
| 5. | Date of Decision | 08.01.2020 |

Brief facts of the case:-


1. In the RTI application, appellant has sought the information that "Kindly provide me certified of complete three numbers files of applicant having three numbers show cause notices issued by Honorable CIC and reply of CPIO – NTPC to these three numbers of show cause notice. These three complaints registration numbers are as under :-

1. Complaint No. CIC/NTPCO/C/2018/116584
2. Complaint No. CIC/NTPCO/C/2017/169259
3. Complaint No. CIC/NTPCO/C/2017/172315".

Reply of CPIO:-

3. CPIO, Sh. S.S.Rohilla replied vide letter No. CICOM/R/2019/50912 dated 25.11.2019 mentioning that :-

P.T.O.



:2:

"You have sought certified copies of show cause notice issued to the CPIO/PIO and Certified copies of replies of CPIO/PIO – NTPC. In this connection, your attention is drawn to paragraph 13 of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India's order dated 03.10.2012 passed in Special Leave Petition (Civil) No. 27734 of 2012 @ cc 1481/2012 in the case of Shri Girish Ramchandra Deshpande Vs Central Information Commission & Ors. The Hon'ble Supreme Court of India decided as under:-


"We are in agreement with the CIC and the courts below that the details called for by the petitioner i.e. copies of all memos issued to the third respondent, show cause notices and orders of censure/punishment etc, are qualified to be personal information as defined in clause (j) of Section 8(1) of the RTI Act."

In view of above, the information sought by you is not being provided.

Decision with reasons:-

3. On perusal of the Appeal, RTI application, CPIO's reply, it is observed that the reply provided by CPIO, Sh. S.S.Rohilla is as per RTI Act, 2005, therefore, no further intervention is required on the part of the FAA, in the matter.
4. The appeal is being disposed of accordingly.
5. In case the appellant is aggrieved by the decision, he is free to file second appeal, if he so desires, before the Central Information Commission, Baba Gang Nath Marg, Munirka, New Delhi-110067 against this order within 90 days.

Dated the 8th January, 2020.



(Y. K. Singhal)

First Appellate Authority

Tel: 26162290

Copy to:-

1. CPIO, RTI Cell, CIC, New Delhi.
2. Sh. S.S. Rohilla, CPIO, CIC, New Delhi.

*Amr
08/01/20*

*✓ K. K. Ch
08/01/2020*