## Central Information Commission Baba Gang Nath Marg, Munirka, New Delhi -110067.

CIC/AA/A/2019/330 CICOM/A/2019/60241 CICOM/R/2019/50997

Name of the Appellant:

Sh. Rakesh Kumar Gupta,

Flat 1001, Block C2B, pocket 2, Golf Link Residency, Sector 18B,

Dwarka, Delhi - 110078.

1.	Date of RTI application	18.12.2019 (online)
2.	Date of reply of the RTI application	24.12.2019 (online)
3.	CPIO(s) who furnished reply	Sh. S. S. Rohilla
4.	1 <sup>st</sup> Appeal Date	24.12.2019 (online)
5.	Date of Decision	13.01.2020

#### Brief facts of the case:-

1. Shri Sh. Rakesh Kumar Gupta, Appellant vide his online RTI application dated 18.12.2019 sought information as:

Kindly provide soft copy of records available with Central Information Commission related to Undersigned cases In DVD or e mail to <a href="mailto:snehcs1@gmail.com">snehcs1@gmail.com</a>, Detail of cases is given below. Cases 1 to 7 are of Delhi Police and 8 is related to Supreme Court of India 1. CIC/DEPOL/A/2018/619642 2. CIC/DEPOL/A/2018/621994 3. CIC/DEPOL/A/2018/618364 4. CIC/DEPOL/A/2018/618363 5. CIC/DEPOL/A/2018/623708 6. CIC/DEPOL/A/2018/623709 7. CIC/VS/A/2014/001287 8. CIC/SCOFI/A/2018/623268

## Reply of CPIO:-

2. The online information dated 24.12.2019 provided by Sh. S. S. Rohilla, as under:

"The information sought by you relates to your own cases which have already been heard and decided. Therefore, in view of observations of the Honorable Madras High Court in W.P. No. 26781/2013 dated 17.09.2014 (The Public Information Officer, The Registrar (Administration), High Court Madras vs The Central Information Commission), the same are denied".

# U/C

## Ground of First Appeal:

3. Aggrieved with reply of CPIO, Sh. S. S. Rohilla, appellant filed the First Appeal stated that "undersigned want to use this information by attaching the same for Undersigned appeal in Hon,ble Delhi High Court for rejection of certain section 4 of the RTI Act, 2005".

1 4 JAN 2020

Initials....

P.T.O.

### Comments of CPIO:

4. For disposal of First Appeal written comments of CPIO were asked by FAA. In written comments CPIO mentioned that "the appellants vide his RTI dated 18.12.2019 (received in registry of Hon'ble CIC on 20.12.2019) requested for details of 8 matters of his own 2<sup>nd</sup> appeals. The same was not provided in terms of observations of Hon'ble Madras High Court in W. P. No. 26781/2013 dated 17.09.2014, CPIO High Court Madras Vs CIC".

### Decision with reasons:-

- On perusal of the Appeal, RTI application and CPIO's reply, it is observed that the reply given by the CPIO is factual and as per Hon'ble Madras High Court's verdict in W. P. No. 26781/2013 dated 17.09.2014. Therefore, no further intervention is required on the part of the FAA, in the matter.
- 6. The appeal is being disposed of accordingly.
- 7. In case the appellant is aggrieved by the decision, he is free to file second appeal, if he so desires, before the Central Information Commission, Baba Gang Nath Marg, Munirka, New Delhi-110067 against this order within 90 days.

Dated the 13<sup>th</sup> January, 2020.

(Y. K. Singhal)

First Appellate Authority

Tel: 26162290

Copy to:-

1. CPIO, RTI Cell, CIC, New Delhi.

 $(v^2_2$ . Sh. S. S. Rohilla, CPIO & DO to former CCIC (SB), CIC, New Delhi.

Inst 19020