

Central Information Commission
Baba Gang Nath Marg,
Munirka, New Delhi -110067.

CIC/AA/A/2020/12
CICOM/A/E/20/00004
CICOM/R/2019/50969

Name of the Appellant : Sh. Manoj K Kamra,
7837, Near Ayyappa Temple,
JNV nagar, Bikaner,
Rajasthan – 334003.

1.	Date of RTI application	09.12.2019 (online)
2.	Date of reply of the RTI application	03.01.2020 (online)
3.	CPIO(s) who furnished reply	Sh. Kishore Kumar Pukhral
4.	1 st Appeal Date	03.01.2020 (online)
5.	Date of Decision	14.01.2020

Brief facts of the case:-

1. Shri Sh. Manoj K Kamra, Appellant vide his online RTI application dated 09.12.2019 sought information as:

(1) copy of provision made by CIC to admit complaints under section-18 against public authorities (a) not having urls of detailed section-4(1)(b)(i to xvii) information necessitating unnecessary RTI application in violation of section 4(2) to MINIMIZE RTI USE (b) not uploading section-4 despite repeated requests (c) not providing suomotu information despite RTI application by refusing such application to be-not clear and specific (2) If no provision of admitting complaints against section-4(1)(b) disclosure violation, Copy of exemption given by CIC to public authorities to keep on hiding even suomotu information NEEDING UNDUE RTI USE against 4(2) to minimize RTI use (3) copy of correspondence 45396/2019/IC/AK Sinha till date.

Reply of CPIO:-

2. Sh. Kishore Kumar Pukhral, CPIO has provided the online information to the appellant on 03.01.2020 mentioning that – "No record for the information sought is available".

Ground of First Appeal:

3. Aggrieved with reply of CPIO, Sh. Kishore Kumar Pukhral, appellant filed the First Appeal stated that "(1) Learned CPIO have clubbed all replies in one vague line-no record for the information sought available WITHOUT BOTHERING TO READ THE CONTENT OF Q-1,2,3 (2) For Q-3, I received one sms with correspondence no. 45396/2019/IC/AK Sinha which must be available with CIC (3) For Q-1,2, CIC is responsible for minimizing RTI use

14 JAN 2020

RECEIVED

D. No.....

Initials.....

under section 4(2) by dissemination of suomotu section 4(1)(b) information. If not, relevant circular/ruling be given for authority liable for compliance of suomotu information".

Comments of CPIO:

4. For disposal of First Appeal written comments of CPIO were asked by FAA, but till date no comments have so far been received from him.

Hearing of the Appeal:

5. For disposal of the appeal, appellant has been contacted over Mobile No. 9414139029 provided by appellant in Appeal application and heard the matter.


Decision with reasons:-

6. On perusal of the Appeal, RTI application and CPIO's reply and hearing, it is observed that the information provided by the CPIO is not satisfactory, accordingly direction is given to Sh. Kishore Kumar Pukhral, CPIO to provide the point wise information to the appellant within 10 days of receipt of this order.

7. The appeal is being disposed of accordingly.

8. In case the appellant is aggrieved by the decision, he is free to file second appeal, if he so desires, before the Central Information Commission, Baba Gang Nath Marg, Munirka, New Delhi-110067 against this order within 90 days.

Dated the 14th January, 2020.



(Y. K. Singhal)

First Appellate Authority

Tel: 26162290

Copy to:-

1. CPIO, RTI Cell, CIC, New Delhi.

2. Sh. K. K. Pukhral, CPIO & S.O. (Legal Cell), CIC, New Delhi.

Passed
14-01-20