Central Information Commission Baba Gang Nath Marg. Munirka. New Delhi – 110 067

CIC/AA/A/2020/8 CICOM/A/P/20/00005 CICOM/R/2019/00751

Name of the Appellant:

Sh. Muke**bk** Mahajan S/o Shindi Ram,

R/o Dayalchank, Jammu & Kashmir - 184 144

1.	Date of RTI application	20.09.2019
2.	Date of reply of the RTI application	08.11.2019
4.	CPIO (s) who furnished reply	Sh. K. L. Das
<u>3. </u>	1 st Appeal Date	10.12.2019
<u> 5. </u>	Date of Decision	

Brief Facts of the case:-

- 1. In his RTI application, the appellant asked for copies of following documents and information:
 - a.) ADDIT-CIT/R-1/JMU/2018-19-Dated 19.03.2019, ISSUED BY OFFICE OF ADDIT-COMMISSI-JHA.
 - b.) JOINT CIR/R-1/JAMMU 2016-17/52 Dated 26.09.2016 ISSUED BY OFFICE OF JCIT PANGE.
 - c.) JCIT/R-I/JMV/2014-15/Dated 07.05.2014-REFERENCE TO/KTH/2014-15/86-DATED 21.05.
 - d) FILE NO. CIC/BS/A/2015/001596/10014 ORDER DATED 18.03.2016 AND REMINDER DATED 28.06.2016 IN SAME FILE REFERENCE. RTI APPLICATION 16.04.201
 - e.) LETTER NO. ITO/KHTHVA/2015-16/3849 DATED 23.03.2016 AND AFFIDAVIT 223
 - f.) FILE NO. SIC-J/A/115. DOD.SIC/J/A/115/2015-194-REPORT OF THEN CPIO SUBMITTED ON BEHALF OF RECORD KEEPER 18.04.2012 9REFERENCE RTI APPLICATION DATED 16.04.2013) IN STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION J&K.
 - g.) REPLY OF THEN CPIO VIDE ORDER DATED 26.05.2016. REFERENCE TO RTI APPLICATION DATED 01.04.2016 FILED BY MOTHER OF APPLICANT OF RTI APPLICATION DATED 01.08.2012
 - h.) ACTION TAKEN REPORT BY CPIO IN ORDER NO.-JCIT/R-1/JMV/2016-17/244 DATED 02.08.2016 AND DETAIL OF DOCUMENT PROVIDED AS

	THE PROVIDED AS
C. I. C. ∕के॰ सू॰ आ	PER LETTER NO ITO/KTH/2016 17
RECEIVED	AS PER DEED OF 1994 OF KARAM CHAND SINDHIRAM CUM
2 0 JAN 2020	LAL SO THERE AN ARTHUR TO THE SOLUTION OF THE
D. No	PARENTAL SOUTHORORAM RETIRES IN 1994, PROVIDE THE PARENTAL
Initials	DEED IN WHICH HE JOINED AS 3 RD PARTIES, AS PER YOUR

0/0

ELECTRONIC RECORD OF PAN NO. AAAFK8322M OF KARAM CHAND SHINDI RAM IN 1992. THERE ARE TWO MEMBERS AS SUCH PROVIDE COMPLETE STATEMENT AND RECORD ON SUCH MATTER, AS KARAM CHAND SHINDI RAM IS A REGISTERED FIRM/OTHER THAN THE ONE ENGAGEDIN PROFESSION

- j.) DEFINITION OF/ALPHABET 'P' IN RETURN OF SHINDI RAM FIL....(not legible).
- k) HOW MUCH TAX PAID BY FATHER OF APPLICANT IN 1996-97 AND WHAT WAS SOURCE OF HIS INCOME.

Reply by the CPIO:-

- 2. In response to the RTI application, CPIO, Sh. K. L. Das, DR to IC(BJ) provided pointwise response to the appellant, which is as under:
 - a.) Certified copy of the letter is enclosed.
 - b.) Certified copy of the letter is enclosed.
 - c.) No such letter is available in file.
 - d.) Certified copy of order dated 18.03.2016 is enclosed.
 - e.) Certified copy of the letter is enclosed.
 - f.) This pertains to the SIC. The letter referred by you is not available with us.
 - g.). h.). i.). j.). & k.) none of these letters are available in your case No. CIC/BS/A/2015/001596/10014 in the Commission. You are, therefore, requested, to indicate case number of the CIC relating to these letters.

Ground of First Appeal:-

3. Aggrieved with the reply received from Sh. K. L. Das, the appellant filed first appeal on following ground:

"The CPIO has furnished incomplete information and only provide certified copies of some documents"

Comments of CPIO on First Appeal:-

4. For Disposal of First Appeal, written comments of CPIO were asked for by the FAA. But till date no comments has so far been received from him. In his written comments, the CPIO, Sh. K. L. Das, DR to IC(BJ) mentioned that,

"the information sought as already been sent. A copy of reply is enclosed."

Hearing of Appeal:-

5. The hearing was scheduled on 20.01.2020 at 10.30 A.M. and a notice for the same was issued on 06.01.2020 to both the parties. The CPIO, Sh. K. L. Das appeared before FAA and

presented his case. But despite the notice, the appellant did not turn up for hearing. So the appellant was heard over his mobile No. 09796202052.

Decision with reasons:-

- 6. On perusal of the Appeal, RTI application, CPIO's reply and hearing, it is observed that the reply given by the CPIO is factual and as per provision of the RTI Act, 2005. Therefore, further intervention is not required on the part of the FAA, in the matter.
- 7. The appeal is being disposed of accordingly.
- 8. In case the appellant is aggrieved by the decision, he is free to file second appeal, if he so desires, before the Central Information Commission, Baba Gang Nath Marg, Munirka, New Delhi 110 067 against this order within 90 days.

Dated January 20, 2020.

(Y. K. Singhal)

First Appellate Authority

Tel: 26162290

Copy to:-

1. CPIO, RTI Cell, CIC, New Delhi.

2. Sh. K. L. Das, CPIO IC(BJ), CIC, New Delhi.