Central Information Commission Baba Gang Nath Marg, Munirka, New Delhi -110067.

CIC/AA/A/2020/15 CICOM/A/E/20/00007 CICOM/R/2019/50897

Name of the Appellant:

Sh. V.S.S. Krishna

55/66 Anna Nagar, First Cross.

Ponnammapet, Salem - 636001.

1.	Date of RTI application	09.11.2019
2.	Date of reply of the RTI application	28.11.2019
3.	CPIO(s) who furnished reply	Sh. T.B.J.S. Rajappa
4.	1 st Appeal Date	08.01.2020
5.	Date of Decision	14.01.2020

Brief facts of the case:-

In the RTI application, appellant has sought the information:-

"NOW MY QUERIES ARE: QUERY - 1) When you receive this mail give me the exact date. QUERY - 2) What are the action are you taking regarding my mail?? QUERY - 3) In my mail I attach the PDF its carry the brief details of your "DEPUTY REGISTRAR (CR-I)" return my "TWO" SECOND APPEAL APPLICATION FORM!!! A) WHY?? WHAT IS THE EXACT REASON?? B) WHY HE/SHE RETURN IT?? QUERY - 4) Are you refer my Screen shot images of 2nd appeal application form if yes then give me the reply for my simple question. a) In the time and date are very clear also all the fields are filled YES OR NO? If your reply is YES then give me the reply why your Deputy Registrar returns my both application?? If your reply is NO then you are responsible to point out the exact query in my both application. QUERY - 5) In my PDF I paste the images of the letter which I receive from your Central Information Commission are you refer it. YES OR NO If your reply is YES then reply me the "POINT -2" "IN THE BOTH LETTER" is "CORRECT OR INCORRECT" IF YOU SAY CORRECT MEANS point out what mistake I done in my application. IF YOU SAY INCORRECT MEANS THEN WHY YOUR REGISTRAR RETURN MY APPLICATION ?? QUERY - 6) If your reply is YES for the question 5 means then tell me now who is the loser?? Also I sent the detail pdf now give me the PDF [what I sent to you] full pages with proper attestation. GIVE ME THE EXACT AND ACCURATE REPLY FOR MY QUESTIONS.



2. Reply by CPIO :-

"Reference your online RTI application dated 09.11.2019. The assistance was sought from the concerned for the information. The replies received from the following is furnished herewith:-

- 1. PPS to IC(VN) 2. DR to IC (DP) 3. PPS to CIC(SB) 4. DR to IC (BJ)
- 5. PPS to IC (NG) 6. Facilitation Desk 6. PS to IC (SC) ".

3. RTI applicant filed First Appeal as under : -

"YOU ARE NOT ABLE TO GIVE METHE ACCURATE REPLY FOR MY QUERIES IN MY RTI SO, NOW FILE THIS FIRST APPEAU GIVE ME THE ACCURATE REPLIES FOR MY SIMPLE QUESTIONS."

4. CPIO, Francis replied vide letter No. CICOM/R/2019/50899 dated 14-01.2020 mentioned that :-

"The appellant has mentioned Queries / Questions regarding emails sent to CIC and sought replies. Although no replies/comments/clarifications can be provided by CPIO under RTI Act, yet the undersigned made efforts to provide information pertaining to the contents of his RTI request. Appellant mentioned in his request that he has sent emails 10 email addresses of CIC and the email carries one PDF. Accordingly, assistance was sought under section 5(4) from the respective offices/sections and requested to furnish information. Copies of Replies received from respective offices/sections were provided to appellant".

Hearing of the Appeal

5. Appellant has been heard by FAA on 13.01.2020 over Mobile No. 08778280234 provided by appellant in Appeal application.

Decision

- 6. It is observed that point wise replies provided by Sh T.B.J.S. Rajappa, CPIO is factual and appropriate and no further intervention is required on the part of the FAA, in the matter.
- 7. The appeal is being disposed of accordingly.
- 8. In case the appellant is aggrieved by the decision, he is free to file second appeal, if he so desires, before the Central Information Commission, Baba Gang Nath Marg, Munirka, New Delhi-110067 against this order within 90 days.

Dated the 14 January 2020,

(Y. K. Singhal)

First Appellate Authority

Tel: 26162290

Copy to:-

1. CPIO, RTI Cell, CIC, New Delhi.

C. I. C./के॰ सू॰ आ॰ RECEIVED