Central Information Commission Baba Gang Nath Marg. Munirka. New Delhi – 110 067

CIC/AA/A/2020/24 CICOM/A/E/20/00010 CICOM/R/2019/00026

Name of the Appellant:

Sh. Ashish

301. Windsor Place, Vinayak Nagar,

Hyderabad. Pin - 500 049


Date of RTI application	11.01.2020
2. Date of reply of the RTI application	16.01.2020
2. Date of reply of the K11 diplication 4. CPIO (s) who furnished reply	Sh. Krishan Avtar Talwar
	17.01.2020
3. 1st Appeal Date	21:01.2020
5. Date of Decision	

Brief Facts of the case:-

- 1. In the online RTI application, appellant has sought the certified copies of following documents and information:-
 - a) UPIC RTI registration Number and Second Appeal number for Diary No. 649640/2019 registered with Central Information Commission forwarded to The Secretary, Uttar Pradesh Information Commission for necessary action.
 - b) Status and actions taken on Diary Number 649640/2019 registered with Central Information Commission forwarded to The Secretary. Uttar Pradesh Information Commission for necessary action. Kindly share certified copies via email to at: ashish p.t.ii.com.ii.com and share the inspection authority details that can help with the inspection and verification of the certified documentsReply of CPIO:-
 - 2. The online information dated 16.01.2020, provided by Sh. Krishan Avtar Talwar, CPIO, Central Registry -1 as under:

Point No. (a) & (b).

"As the public authority, namely district court, varansi as referred to in the Second Appeal/ Complaint diarized vide Diary No. 649640/2019 was not in the jurisdiction of this Commission, said appeal/complaint was forwarded to Uttar Pradesh Information Commission under intimation to you vide Facilitation Memo (Diary No. 649640/2019) dated 25.11.2019 for requisite necessary action, at its end.



0/0/

It is clarified that this Commission does not have any appellate or supervisory jurisdiction over any of the State Information Commissions including Uttar Pradesh Information Commission. As such, no such information is available/accessible to this Commission. You may however like to take up the issue directly with Uttar Pradesh State Information Commission."

Ground of First Appeal:-

3. Aggrieved with reply of CPIO, Sh. Krishan Avtar Talwar, appellant filed the First Appeal online stated that:

"Refused access to information requested and provided incomplete, misleading or false information."

Comments of CPIO on First Appeal:

4. For Disposal of First Appeal written comments of CPIO were asked by the FAA. The comments has not so far been received.

Hearing of Appeal:-

5. The first appeal was heard on 21.01.2020 over mobile No. 9866014400 of the appellant.

Decision with reasons:-

- 6. On perusal of the Appeal, RTI application, CPIO's reply and hearing, it is observed that the reply given by the CPIO is factual and as per provision of the RTI Act, 2005. Therefore, further intervention is not required on the part of the FAA, in the matter.
- 7. The appeal is being disposed of accordingly.
- 8. In case the appellant is aggrieved by the decision, he is free to file second appeal, if he so desires, before the Central Information Commission, Baba Gang Nath Marg, Munirka, New Delhi 110 067 against this order within 90 days.

Dated Danuary, 2020.

(Y. K. Singhal)

First Appellate Authority Tel: 26162290

Copy to:-

1. CPIO, RTI Cell, CIC, New Delhi.

2. Sh. Krishan Avtar Talwar, CPIO, Central Registry-1, CIC, New Delhi. _ @...

21/1/20

"(") (1) (1)