Central Information Commission
Baba Gang Nath Marg.
Munirka. New Delhi – 110 067

CIC/AA/A/2020/27
CICOM/A/E/20/00013
CICOM/R/2019/501017

Name of the Appellant: Sh. Mukesh Kumar
Flat No. 41. Om Kailash Jyot CHS. Navapad.
Subhash Road, Dombivli West.
Pin – 421 202

1.	Date of RTI application	23.12.2019
2.	Date of reply of the RTI application	17.01.2020
4.	CPIO (s) who furnished reply	Sh. Kishore Kumar Pukhral (Legal Cell).
3.	1st Appeal Date	20.01.2020
5.	Date of Decision	21.01.2020

Brief Facts of the case:-

1. In the online RTI application, appellant, by referring that Indian Institute of Information Technology, Vadodara had submitted all the information, feedback, comments etc. to Central Information Commission for Transparency Audit of Disclosures u/s 4 of the Right to Information Act, 2005, done by Shri A. N. Tiwari and M. M. Ansari, asked for following information:

> "All the information including feedback, comments, observations etc. provided by Indian Institute of Information Technology Vadodara to evaluators A. N. Tiwari and M. M. Ansari for Transparency Audit of Disclosures u/s 4 of Right to Information Act by the Public Authorities between July 2018 to November 2018. As the above information has been collected by evaluators in electronic form, I seek the information sought in electronic form through email at my email Id. themukeshkumar1@gmail.com or a shared link on Google Drive. If the CPIO is of the view that information cannot be provided electronically at my email Id. I may be contacted by him electronically (through email) for clarification or to provide information sought in other form."

Reply of CPIO:-

2. The information dated 17.01.2020, provided by Sh. Kishore Kumar Pukhral, CPIO, Legal Cell, as under:

Query	Reply/Information
Query	The requisite information as sought for, has been sent on email id-themukeshkumar1@gmail.com

Ground of First Appeal:-

3. Aggrieved with reply of CPIO, Sh. Kishore Kumar Pukhral, appellant filed the First Appeal online stated that:

> "The PIO has not provided complete information. He has not provided copy of covering email of the public authority IIIT Vadodara through which information in Annexe-I was submitted electronically. There must be an email of the public authority IIIT Vadodara in records of the public authority Central Information Commission. I had immediately sent an email request to PIO on 17th January to provide the covering email of the public authority but he had not responded (a pdf print is attached for reference)."

Comments of CPIO on First Appeal:

4. For Disposal of First Appeal written comments of CPIO were asked by the FAA. The comments has not so far been received.

Hearing of Appeal:-

5. The first appeal was heard on 21.01.2020 over mobile No. 9987079119 of the appellant.

Decision with reasons:-

6. On perusal of the Appeal, RTI application, CPIO's reply and hearing, it is observed that the factual information, which is available in record, has been provided by the CPIO to the appellant. Therefore, further intervention is not required on the part of the FAA, in the matter.

7. The appeal is being disposed of accordingly.

8. In case the appellant is aggrieved by the decision, he is free to file second appeal, if he so desires, before the Central Information Commission, Baba Gang Nath Marg, Munirka, New Delhi – 110 067 against this order within 90 days.

Dated January 21, 2020.

(Y. K. Singhal)
First Appellate Authority
Tel: 26162290

Copy to:-

1. **CPIO, RTI Cell, CIC, New Delhi.**
2. **Sh. Kishore Kumar Pukharal, CPIO, Legal Cell, CIC, New Delhi.**

21.01.2020

C. I. C./के० सू० आ०
RECEIVED
21 JAN 2020
D. No.....
Initials.....