

Central Information Commission
Baba Gang Nath Marg,
Munirka, New Delhi – 110 067

CIC/AA/A/2020/56
CICOM/A/P/20/00023
CICOM/R/2019/00835

Name of the Appellant: Shri J. R. Desai
MIDC Hsg Colony, Plot No. RB/22,
Vijay Niwas, Flat No. -101,
Opp.: AdarshVidyalayaSneh Nagar,
Trapur-Boisar, Industrial Complex, Maharashtra
Pin – 401 506

1.	Date of RTI application	03.11.2019
2.	Date of reply of the RTI application	05.12.2019
4.	CPIO (s) who furnished reply	Sh. Krishan Avtar Talwar, Deputy Secretary, Central Registry-1
3.	1 st Appeal Date	13.01.2020
5.	Date of Decision	21.02.2020

Brief Facts of the case:-

1. On going through the RTI application it is not clear that for which specific information, this application has been filed by the appellant. However, the appellant, in his application, has mentioned his several communications sent to the Commission.


Reply of CPIO:-

2. In response to the RTI application Sh. Krishan Avtar Talwar, Deputy Secretary, Central Registry-1, informed the appellant that:

“Perusal of the RTI application does not specifically provide the details on which you wish to seek information under RTI Act-2005. Furthermore the table where certain communications have been referred to is not properly legible. Accordingly, in the absence of providing of pin-pointed issues, for seeking the information, assistance u/s 5(4) of RTI Act, 2005 was sought from I/C (Dak Section), Custodian of information. In this regard, copy of the reply dated 03.12.2019 which is self-explanatory, is enclosed herewith.”

3. In the reply of the I/C (Dak Section), the appellant has been informed regarding the action taken on his three communications, received in the Commission through Diary No. 117934 dated 18.04.2019, Diary No. 128446 dated 14.06.2019 and Diary No. 142190 dated 31.08.2019, that facilitation memos have been sent to him for removal of deficiencies in the communications. For rest of the communications the appellant has been informed that:

“With regard to para (ii) of your communication 28.11.2019 regarding Dy. No. allocated to Communication marked as ‘AA’, i.e. two Representations (Public interest 134 & 135) dated 16.08.2019, it is stated that software (both APPSCOMS & e-office)



of the Commission does not reflect receipt of representations No. (i) Public Interest 134 and (ii) 135, both dated 16.08.2019 from the appellant."

Ground of First Appeal:-

4. The appellant, in his first appeal, has not made any complaint against the reply sent to him by the CPIOs. He has only stated his grievance, pertaining to Railways and the grievance has also not been specifically stated.

Decision with reasons:-

5. On perusal of the Appeal, RTI application and CPIO's reply it is observed that the appellant through his RTI application has not asked for any specific information, which is held by or under the control of the Commission. However, the CPIO has provided the information regarding action taken on his three communications, received in the Commission through the Diary Nos. Diary No. 128446 dated 14.06.2019 and Diary No. 142190 dated 31.08.2019.

In light of the above observation, the information provided by the CPIO is factual and as per the provision of the Act. Hence, further intervention, on the part of FAA, is not required in this matter.

6. The appeal is being disposed of accordingly.

7. In case the appellant is aggrieved by the decision, he is free to file second appeal, if he so desires, before the Central Information Commission, Baba Gang Nath Marg, Munirka, New Delhi - 110 067 against this order within 90 days.

Dated: February 21, 2020.

MBalimane
21/2/2020
(Smt. Meena Balimane Sharma)

First Appellate Authority

Tel: 26162290

Copy to:-

1. CPIO, RTI Cell, CIC, New Delhi.
2. Sh. KrishanAvtarTalwar, Deputy Secretary, Central Registry-1, CIC, New Delhi.

26/02/20

26/2/20